Government barometer III results in comparison to previous scores

Questions	UK III	UK II	UK I	Netherlands III	Netherlands II	Netherlands I	Denmark III	Denmark II	Denmark I	Latvia III	Latvia II	Latvia I	Belgium III	Belgium II	Belgium I	Germany III	Germany II	Germany I
1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	-	2	2	-	2	2	2
2	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	2	-	2	2	-	1	2	0
3	2	2	0	2	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	-	1	2	-	1	1	1
4	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	-	1	1	-	2	2	1
5	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	-	1	0	-	1	1	1
6	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	-	0	0	0
7	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	-	0	0	-	1	1	1
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	0
9	1,4	-	-	1,2	-	-	1,6	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	1,8	-	-
10	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	-	1	1	-	1	2	1
11	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	1	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-
Total score 2006	13,4			13,2			11,6			11			11			10,8		
Comparable scores for 9 questions	12	13	12	12	6	6	10	10	9	8	7	-	9	8	-	9	11	7

Questions	Estonia III	Estonia II	Estonia I	France III	France II	France I	Sweden III	Sweden II	Sweden I	Finland III	Finland II	Finland I	Austria III	Austria II	Austria I	Slovenia III	Slovenia II	Slovenia I	CH III	CH II	CH I
1	2	1	-	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	0	0	2	1	1	2	-	-	1	-	-
2	2	1	-	1	1	0	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	2	-	-	0	-	-
3	1	1	-	1	0	0	2	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	1	2	-	-	1	-	-
4	1	2	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	0	-	-	1	-	-
5	0	0	-	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	-	-	1	-	-
6	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	1	-	-
7	1	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	-	-
8	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	-	-
9	2	-	-	1	-	-	1,4	-	-	1,4	-	-	1	-	-	1,8	-	-	1,6	-	-
10	0	1	-	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	0	1	1	0	-	-	1	-	-
11	1	-	-	1	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	2	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-
Total score 2006	10			10			9,4			9,4			8			7,8			7,6		
Comparable scores for 9 questions	7	6	-	8	7	6	8	7	7	8	6	6	5	6	6	6	-	-	6	-	-

Questions	Spain III	Spain II	Spain I	Lithuania III	Lithuania II	Lithuania I	Slovakia III	Slovakia II	Slovakia I	Greece III	Greece II	Greece I	Italy III	Italy II	Italy I	Hungary III	Hungary II	Hungary I
1	2	2	2	2	2	-	2	1	-	2	2	2	2	0	0	2	1	1
2	1	1	1	2	2	-	2	1	-	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2
3	1	2	2	1	1	-	2	1	-	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	2
4	1	1	1	1	1	-	0	0	-	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	1	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	1	-	-	0,8	-	-	0,8	-	-	1,2	-	-	0	-	-	1,2	-	-
10	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-
Total score 2006	7			6,8			6,8			6,2			6			5,2		
Comparable scores for 9 questions	6	6	6	6	6	-	6	3	-	5	6	6	6	5	5	4	5	5

Questions	Portugal III	Portugal II	Portugal I	Czech Rep. III	Czech Rep. II	Czech Rep. I	Poland III	Poland II	Poland I	Ireland III	Ireland II	Ireland I	Total issue scores III
1	2	2	-	2	1	-	2	2	-	2	-	-	**45**
2	1	2	-	0	0	-	0	2	-	0	-	-	**26**
3	1	2	-	1	1	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	**28**
4	0	0	-	1	1	-	1	0	-	0	-	-	**21**
5	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	**9**
6	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	**4**
7	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	**8**
8	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	**0**
9	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	0	-	-	**28,2**
10	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	0	-	0	-	-	**13**
11	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	**5**
Total score 2006	5			5			4			2	refused to participate		**182,2**
Comparable scores for 9 questions	4	6	-	4	3	-	3	4	-	2	-	-	

Questions

1	Position on the development of a voluntary licensing scheme on timber, as proposed in the FLEGT action plan.
2	Position towards developing EU legislation outlawing the import and marketing of illegally-sourced timber or wood products.
3	Position towards developing an EU initiative to stop illegal logging in new EU member states and EU candidate countries.
4	The level of collaboration across government departments to ensure national implementation of the FLEGT action plan.
5	Commitment to ensuring public procurement of legal and sustainable wood products.
6	Ability to prove the implementation of a sound public procurement policy.
7	Active participation in partnerships on combating illegal logging and related trade.
8	Ability to prove that their participation in partnerships on combating illegal logging and related trade is having a positive effect.
9	Position about principles essential for negotiating voluntary partnership agreements.
10	The level of priority given to projects in wood-producing developing countries aiming to reduce illegal logging.
11	National action programme to address issues around forest law enforcement, governance and timber trade?

Rating of countries (III)

red (sad face)	0 - 8 points
orange (neutral face)	9-15 points
green (smiling face)	16-22 points