



KOMMISSIONEN FOR DE EUROPÆISKE FÆLLESSKABER

Bruxelles, den 7.5.2009
KOM(2009) 213 endelig

**MEDDELELSE FRA KOMMISSIONEN TIL RÅDET OG
EUROPA-PARLAMENTET**

**OM MEDLEMSSTATERNES UDGIFTER, DER MEDFINANSIERES AF
FÆLLESSKABET, TIL GENNEMFØRELSE AF OVERVÅGNINGS- OG
KONTROLORDNINGERNE UNDER DEN FÆLLES FISKERIPOLITIK I
PERIODEN 2001-2006**

DA

DA

MEDDELELSE FRA KOMMISSIONEN TIL RÅDET OG EUROPA-PARLAMENTET

OM MEDLEMSSTATERNES UDGIFTER, DER MEDFINANSIERES AF FÆLLESSKABET, TIL GENNEMFØRELSE AF OVERVÅGNINGS- OG KONTROLORDNINGERNE UNDER DEN FÆLLES FISKERIPOLITIK I PERIODEN 2001-2006

1. BEGRUNDELSE FOR FÆLLESSKABETS MEDFINANSIERING AF FISKERIKONTROLLEN

EF-reglerne om bevarelse af fiskebestandene, som udgør en del af den fælles fiskeripolitik, kan kun fungere effektivt, hvis medlemsstaterne, der bærer ansvaret for, at disse regler gennemføres korrekt, råder over tilstrækkelige personaleressourcer og tekniske hjælpemidler. Dette behov er årsagen til, at Fællesskabet siden 1990 har benyttet en finansiel støtteordning, hvor medlemsstaterne støttes i deres indsats for at gennemføre EF-reglerne for fiskerikontrol. Denne støtteordning er ændret adskillige gange som reaktion på vurderinger af de støttede foranstaltningers effektivitet og nye udfordringer for fiskerikontrollen.

Disse ændringer omfatter Rådets beslutning 2001/431/EF¹ og 2004/465/EF², der danner lovgrundlaget for støtteordningens udformning for henholdsvis perioden 2001-2003 og perioden 2004-2006.

2. RÅDETS BESLUTNING 2001/431/EF OG 2004/465/EF

2.1. Typer af udgifter, der medfinansieres af Fællesskabet

De typer af udgifter, der er berettigede til EF-støtte, jf. artikel 2 i Rådets beslutning 2001/431/EF, er overtaget og udbygget i den tilsvarende artikel 4 i Rådets beslutning 2004/465/EF, omend med mindre ændringer i ordlyden.

Ved begge beslutninger ydes der således støtte til oprettelse af it-net, hvor kontrolrelaterede data kan udveksles mellem medlemsstaters myndigheder indbyrdes og mellem medlemsstaternes myndigheder og Kommissionen.

Innovative fremgangsmåder stimuleres via medfinansieringen af udgifter i relation til gennemførelsen af ny teknologi inden for fiskerikontrol. Selv om beslutning 2001/431/EF sammenfatter udgifter til FOS og elektroniske registreringsanordninger under artiklen om "ny teknologi", udskilles de i beslutning 2004/465/EF som en særskilt udgiftspost.

Med henblik på at øge kvalifikationerne hos kontrolmyndighedernes personale og for at fremme koordineringen med andre medlemsstaters myndigheder er tilhørende uddannelses- og udvekslingsprogrammer optaget på listen over støtteberettigede projekter.

Da Fællesskabet deltager i de regionale fiskeriorganisationer (RFO'er) og er interesseret i, at de er velfungerende, hører medlemsstaternes udgifter til gennemførelsen af nye inspektions- og observatørordninger inden for rammerne af RFO'er til rækken af støtteberettigede udgifter i medfør af ordningen for perioden 2001-2003. For årene 2004-2006 er denne bestemmelse

¹ EFT L 154 af 9.6.2001, s. 22.

² EUT L 157 af 30.4.2004, s. 114. Ændret ved Rådets beslutning 2006/2/EF (EUT L 2 af 5.1.2006, s. 4).

rækkevidde udvidet, således at inspektions- og observatørordninger på forsøgsbasis er støtteberettigede generelt, dvs. ikke længere kun i forbindelse med RFO'er.

Desuden medfinansierer Fællesskabet anskaffelse og modernisering af kontrol-, inspektions- og overvågningsudstyr. Denne udgiftstype rækker fra mindre reparationer til bygning af nye skibe og luftfartøjer til fiskerikontrol.

Endelig betragtes omkostninger i relation til et system til vurdering af udgifterne til kontrol af den fælles fiskeripolitik også som støtteberettigede.

I lyset af medlemsstaternes uensartede fremgangsmåder med hensyn til retsforfølgelse af alvorlige overtrædelser af den fælles fiskeripolitiks regler blev seminarer og medieredskaber – for at øge opmærksomheden om behovet for at bekæmpe ulovligt fiskeri – med ordningen for 2004-2006 føjet til de støtteberettigede udgiftskategorier. Endvidere blev medlemsstaternes omkostninger ved undersøgelser på fiskerikontrolområdet, som udføres på Kommissionens initiativ, støtteberettiget i kraft af en ændring ved udgangen af 2005³.

2.2. Medfinansieringssatser

I artikel 5 til 10 i Rådets beslutning 2001/431/EF og i artikel 6, stk. 2, i Rådets beslutning 2004/465/EF fastsættes maksimale medfinansieringssatser for hver type af de støtteberettigede udgifter for henholdsvis årene 2001-2003 og 2004-2006. Som det fremgår af venstre del af tabellen i bilag I, har ordningen for 2004-2006 kun ført få ændringer med sig sammenlignet med den tidligere ordning.

3. KOMMISSIONENS ÅRLIGE FINANSIERINGSBESLUTNINGER

3.1. Medfinansieringssatser

Som det fremgår af højre del af tabellen i bilag I, er der i de årlige finansieringsbeslutninger, som Kommissionen har vedtaget med henblik på at gennemføre bestemmelserne i Rådets beslutning 2001/431/EF og 2004/465/EF, som hovedregel anvendt de maksimalt tilladte medfinansieringssatser i medfør af de to beslutninger.

Den eneste undtagelse er medfinansieringssatsen for it-udgifter i finansieringsbeslutningerne for 2002 og 2003, hvor der blev ydet 50 % i stedet for de tilladte 65 %.

Bestemmelserne vedrørende FOS blev ligeledes gennemført i perioderne 2001-2003 og 2004-2006. I begge perioder blev der fastsat et maksimum for støtteberettigede udgifter, som kunne opnå medfinansiering, til anskaffelse af satellitovervågningsudstyr, samt en øvre grænse, over hvilken medfinansieringssatsen ændres fra 100 % til 50 %.

3.2. Samlede forpligtelser


I såvel beslutning 2001/431/EF som i den ændrede beslutning 2004/465/EF er der i henholdsvis artikel 4, stk. 2, og artikel 5, stk. 1, fastsat et maksimalt EF-bidrag til fiskerikontrollen på 105 mio. EUR fordelt over tre år. Gennemførelsen af disse bestemmelser har fundet sted via Kommissionens årlige finansieringsbeslutninger. I disse beslutninger er der for hver medlemsstat fastsat støtteberettigede udgifter for hver udgiftskategori samt

³ Rådets beslutning 2006/2/EF af 21. december 2005.

finansielle medfinansieringssatser, der har resulteret i en samlet maksimal medfinansiering på 100 890 377 EUR for 2001-2003 og 98 319 418 EUR for 2004-2006⁴.

3.3. Maksimal medfinansiering fordelt på støtteberettigede udgiftskategorier

En sammenligning af de forskellige udgiftsposters relative andele af den samlede maksimale medfinansiering for perioderne 2001-2003 og 2004-2006 (se figur I) udviser fællestræk overordnet set. Bygning/modernisering af skibe og luftfartøjer står for den langt største del (56 % og 62,2 %) efterfulgt af medfinansiering af ny teknologi (20,6 % and 16,6 %), der af hensyn til den grafiske fremstilling er



Figur I – Maksimal medfinansiering opdelt efter type støtteberettiget udgift

lagt sammen med Fællesskabets medfinansiering af FOS og andre elektroniske indberetningsanordninger. Finansiell støtte til oprettelsen af it-net indtager tredjepladsen

⁴ Denne manglende udnyttelse af det maksimalt tilladte beløb på 105 mio. EUR skyldes medfinansieringens udgiftsbegrænsende karakter, og at nogle projekter blev afvist for at bibeholde en vis balance mellem bidragene til de forskellige medlemsstater og udgiftskategorier.

(16,7 % og 15,3 %), og er dermed mere omfattende end den finansielle støtte til fiskerikontrollørers uddannelseskurser (6,1 % og 3,9 %).

Såvel i perioden 2001-2003 som perioden 2004-2006 er medfinansieringen af systemer til vurdering af udgifterne til kontrol den mindste udgiftspost (0,5 % og 0,1 %), og den ligger dermed under støtten til opmærksomhedsskabende seminarer (1,7 % i 2004-2006) og gennemførelsen af nye inspektionsordninger (0,3 % i 2004-2006).

3.3.1. Den relative betydning af Fællesskabets medfinansiering af kontroludstyr

Som det fremgår af figur I, tegner anskaffelse og modernisering af skibe og luftfartøjer sig med en andel, som der er øget fra 56 % til 62 %, for mere end 50 % af Fællesskabets forpligtelser i såvel 2001-2003 som 2004-2006. Dette forhold skyldes primært de gennemsnitlige omkostninger for enheder i denne udgiftskategori. Bygning af søgående skibe kan medføre udgifter på flere millioner euro til forskel fra andre kategorier, der aldrig vil komme i nærheden af sådanne udgiftsniveauer. For trods alt at nedbringe Fællesskabets medfinansiering inden for denne udgiftskategori benyttede Kommissionen den begrundelse, der ledsagede forslaget om den finansielle støtteordning for 2001-2003⁵, til at annoncere en overgang fra en politik for "anskaffelse af udstyr" til fordel for en mere selektiv politik, der alene fokuserer på "fornyelse og supplerung". Dette blev fulgt op med en stadig mere restriktiv praksis for ydelse af finansiell støtte til denne udgiftskategori. For perioden 2001-2003 udgjorde forpligtelserne for kontroludstyr kun 74 % af udgifterne til det kontroludstyr, som der blev ansøgt om i nationale kontrolprogrammer, og denne andel blev nedbragt yderligere til 48 % i forbindelse med ordningen for 2004-2006. Kommissionens indsats blev dog modvirket af medlemsstaternes tilbøjelighed til at kræve stadig større beløb. Selv om de forventede udgifter til kontroludstyr i 2001 var ca. 40 mio. EUR, voksede de til mere end 190 mio. EUR i kontrolprogrammerne for 2006. Selv nedbringelsen af den maksimale medfinansieringssats for udgifter til udstyr i finansieringsbeslutningerne fra 2004 og frem (25 % medfinansiering i stedet for 35 %) vendte ikke denne tendens.

3.3.2. Udgiftskategorier, der sjældent eller aldrig benyttes

Som det fremgår af figur I, forårsagede visse støttekategorier ingen eller næsten ingen støtteberettigede udgifter. For perioden 2001-2003 gælder dette medlemsstaternes omkostninger til gennemførelse af nye inspektions- og observatørordninger inden for RFO'er. Og der er tilsyneladende et tilsvarende problem med oprettelsen af et system til vurdering af udgifter til kontrol, da blot to medlemsstater fik godkendt deres ansøgninger om sådan medfinansiering.

Ligeledes i perioden 2004-2006 resulterede disse to udgiftstyper kun i forpligtelser for to medlemsstaters vedkommende. Blandt de udgiftskategorier, som er indført i ordningen for 2004-2006, anmodede medlemsstaterne alene om medfinansiering af seminarer om ulovligt fiskeri, hvilket resulterede i tilsvarende forpligtelser⁶.

⁵ KOM(2000) 684 endelig af 27.10.2000.

⁶ Da den støtteberettigede kategori vedrørende undersøgelser om fiskerikontrol, der udføres på Kommissionens initiativ, først blev en del af 2004-2006-ordningen ved udgangen af 2005 (ved ændring 2006/2/EF), forekommer det at være for tidligt at bedømme, i hvor høj grad denne udnyttes af medlemsstaterne.

4. OVERSIGT OVER DE FINANSIEREDE POSTER

Med finansieringsbeslutningerne for perioden 2001-2006 er der indtil nu ydet godtgørelse for 472 ud af 900 projekter, som var berettiget til EF-medfinansiering. De følgende tal vedrører et udvalg af udgiftsposter, som er relativt standardiserede og derfor kan kumuleres for medlemsstaterne. De bidrager til at give et mere konkret billede af, hvilke resultater der indtil videre er opnået med Fællesskabets støtte.

Der er blevet medfinansieret 161 projekter angående uddannelse af fiskerikontrolpersonale, og i forbindelse med flere af disse blev der udvekslet personale mellem medlemsstaterne. Desuden vedrørte 5 projekter udelukkende udveksling af kontrolpersonale, og de havde til formål at fremme samarbejde og koordinering blandt medlemsstaterne. Det er i den forbindelse bemærkelsesværdigt, at fire ud af de syv medlemsstater, som tiltrådte i 2004, og som er kyststater, har fået medfinansieret projekter, der helt eller delvis havde til formål at udveksle inspektører. Imidlertid fandt der for tre af disse syv medlemsstater ingen medfinansieret udveksling sted, og for to af dem lykkedes det ikke engang at gennemføre et medfinansieret uddannelseskursus. I lyset af de potentielle fordele, navnlig for de nye medlemsstater, udnyttes udvekslingsprogrammernes potentiale tilsyneladende endnu ikke fuldt ud.

Ved første øjekast synes et samlet antal på 5 066 transceivere, de såkaldte "blå bokse", som er medfinansieret i medfør af finansieringsbeslutninger i perioden 2001-2006, at være imponerende. Men en nærmere undersøgelse afslører, at dette antal langt fra er tilfredsstillende. Eksempelvis vedrører Kommissionens finansieringsbeslutning 2004/690/EF⁷ udelukkende FOS og er udarbejdet på baggrund af konkrete anmodninger fra medlemsstaterne. Men den er mundet ud i medfinansiering af blot 1 050 transceivere, selv om 4 653 blev betragtet som støtteberettigede. Idet det antages, at medlemsstaternes anmodninger byggede på en realistisk evaluering af det antal fartøjer, som er forpligtet til at medbringe en transceiver om bord, forekommer det rimeligt at prioritere FOS-relaterede udgifter i forbindelse med fremtidige finansieringsbeslutninger.

For udgifternes vedkommende er det i betragtning af den store variation i henseende til finansiering af skibe hensigtsmæssigt at klassificere udgifterne i forhold til de samlede byggeomkostninger. This runs parallel with the different classes of vessels involved. Den mindste klasse omfatter skibe, der koster op til 50 000 EUR, inklusive stive oppustelige både (Rib-både), som normalt anvendes på korte afstande, og som også kan operere i kystfarvande. Fællesskabet har bidraget til leveringen af ca. 27 skibe af denne klasse.

Prisintervallet 50 000-1 000 000 EUR dækker hovedparten af fiskerikontrolskibene, hvoraf 33 er bygget med EF-medfinansiering. Skibe, som koster betydeligt mere end 1 000 000 EUR, tilhører klassen af fiskerikontrolfartøjer, som har til opgave at udføre kontrol på åbent hav, til tider fjernt fra europæiske farvande. Der er bygget 13 af disse skibe med medfinansiering fra Fællesskabet.

5. GENNEMFØRELSE AF DE ÅRLIGE KONTROLPROGRAMMER

Efter at have beskrevet strukturen af Fællesskabets forpligtelser og opgivet konkrete tal for de hidtil finansierede udgiftsposter kan det være interessant at give et mere fyldestgørende overblik over, i hvilket omfang de nationale kontrolprogrammer reelt er gennemført.

⁷ EUT L 314 af 13.10.2004, s. 11.

En beregning af forholdet mellem de samlede frigørelser og de oprindelige forpligtelser giver som resultat en ikkegennemført andel på 25 % for perioden 2001-2003 og på 14 % for perioden 2004-2006. Idet disse tal skal ses i sammenhæng med et forhold mellem uindfrie forpligtelser og oprindelige forpligtelser på henholdsvis 33 % og 53 %, er det alene den ikkegennemførte andel for perioden 2001-2003, som forekommer tilstrækkeligt moden til at blive analyseret nærmere.

Da en ikkegennemført andel på 25 % og en andel af uindfrie forpligtelser på 33 % indebærer, at den resterende del (42 % af de oprindelige forpligtelser) er gennemført, er forholdet mellem frigørelser og udbetalt medfinansiering ca. 1:2⁸. Dette lader formode, at frem til tidspunktet, hvor alle programmer for perioden 2001-2003 afsluttes, vil den ikkegennemførte andel sandsynligvis stige til mindst 33 % som følge af nye frigørelser. Antages det, at sandsynligheden for, at en uindfriet forpligtelse frigøres, er stigende, jo længere tid der er gået, siden den oprindelige forpligtelse blev indgået, forekommer 33 % endog at være et ret forsigtigt overslag. Det står klart, at såvel den indberettede ikkegennemførte andel og i endnu højere grad den skønnede, endelige, ikkegennemførte andel er utilfredsstillende høje.

5.1. Forbindelsen mellem ikkegennemførte andele og maksimal medfinansiering

Tabellen i bilag II illustrerer de bagvedliggende strukturer og rangordner de medlemsstater, der er omfattet af ordningen for 2001-2003, efter deres ikkegennemførte andel. Medlemsstater, der er markeret med en (*), bør ikke tages i betragtning, fordi de har en andel af uindfrie forpligtelser, som ligger væsentligt over den faktiske samlede andel (og derigennem misvisende lave ikkegennemførte andele). Men de resterende ti medlemsstater kan uden problemer analyseres nærmere.

Som det fremgår af tabellen, svinger den ikkegennemførte andel for medlemsstaterne, der er rangordnet 1 til 10, fra en fjerdedel af den maksimale medfinansiering til næsten et hundrede procent. En sammenligning af disse tal med rangordenen i midten af tabellen (maksimal medfinansiering tildelt medlemsstaterne) viser tydeligt, at der ikke er tegn på en systematisk positiv sammenhæng mellem ikkegennemførte andele og omfanget af den maksimale medfinansiering. Et land som Belgien har til trods for, at det fik tildelt den næstmindste maksimale medfinansiering, stadig den næsthøjeste ikkegennemførte andel. Modsat har Spanien, som opnåede den næsthøjeste EF-medfinansiering, en ikkegennemført andel, der stadig ligger betydeligt under den gennemsnitlige andel på 51 %. Som det fremgår af situationen for Finland og Grækenland, er der heller ikke belæg for, at der skulle være en sammenhæng i modsat retning. Omfanget af den maksimale medfinansiering, der tildeles en medlemsstat, har derfor tilsyneladende ingen indflydelse på medlemsstatens gennemførelse af programmet.

5.2. Forbindelsen mellem maksimal medfinansiering og frigørelser

Konklusionen ændres, hvis man i stedet for den relative størrelse betragter den absolutte størrelse af frigørelserne, som er anført i tabellens højre del. Sammenlignes denne rangordning med rangordningen efter den maksimale medfinansiering pr. medlemsstat, er sammenhængen udtalt. De fem lande med den højeste maksimale medfinansiering (bortset fra de medlemsstater, som er markeret med en (*)) er samtidig de fem lande med de højeste frigjorte beløb. Selv om dette mønster har sin oprindelse i den struktur, som udgifts-

⁸ Disse beregninger bygger på Kommissionens interne opfølgningsskemaer over udnyttelsen af EF-midler, og de er senest ajourført den 12.12.2008.

forpligtelserne fordeles efter (de syv største modtagerlande tegner sig for 87 % af den samlede maksimale medfinansiering), kunne denne sammenhæng stadig svækkes, hvis de lande, som drager størst fordel af ordningen, styrkede deres indsats for at nedbringe deres ikkegennemførte andele. Fremover vil det være hensigtsmæssigt, at alle medlemsstater, der drager fordel af en maksimal medfinansiering over gennemsnittet, sørger for, at den ikkegennemførte andel ligger under gennemsnittet.

5.3. Årsager til ikkeoptimal gennemførelse af kontrolprogrammer

Der er forskellige årsager til, at en betydelig del af de årlige kontrolprogrammer ikke gennemføres, og da medlemsstaterne ofte ikke angiver årsager, er det umuligt at opstille en repræsentativ liste. Generelt set findes der to relevante begrundelser for at forkaste et projekt.

5.3.1. Medlemsstaterne overvurderer deres budgetmæssige kapacitet

Først og fremmest er den hyppighed, hvormed projekter annulleres af medlemsstater, der allerede har fået en god del af deres forventede udgifter i kontrolprogrammet afvist som ikke-støtteberettiget af Kommissionen, et tegn på, at kontrolprogrammerne i sig selv er for omfattende. På den baggrund ville det være hensigtsmæssigt med mere beskedne og realistiske årlige kontrolprogrammer.

5.3.2. Udgifter, der ikke er støtteberettigede

Den anden begrundelse hænger sammen med, at nogle udgifter ikke er støtteberettigede. Projekter, der optages i et givet kontrolprogram, men påbegyndes forud for det år, som kontrolprogrammerne gælder for, er typiske eksempler og fører konsekvent til, at Kommissionen må afvise de tilknyttede anmodninger om godtgørelse.

En mere stringent overholdelse fra medlemsstaternes side af de gældende regler for EF-finansiering af fiskerikontrollen ville bidrage til at nedbringe hyppigheden af sådanne problemer. Tilsvarende bør medlemsstaterne opmuntres til at kontakte Kommissionens ansvarlige personale i tilfælde af tvivl om fortolkningen af visse regler og derved undgå at arbejde ud fra antagelser, som i sidste ende viser sig fejlagtige og fører til, at Fællesskabet må afvise medfinansiering.

Det bør i den sammenhæng nævnes, at den oprindelige misligholdelse af reglerne om støttedokumenter, som skal vedlægges enhver anmodning om godtgørelse⁹, er en hyppig kilde til forsinket godtgørelse. Betalingerne ville blive fremskyndet, hvis medlemsstaterne fulgte reglerne til punkt og prikke.

6. 2001-2006-KONTROLPROGRAMMETS INDVIRKNING

Ifølge artikel 16, litra b), i Rådets beslutning 2004/465/EF, ændret ved Rådets beslutning 2006/2/EF, stilles der krav bl.a. om en endelig evalueringsrapport om indvirkningen af Fællesskabets medfinansiering på fiskerikontrolprogrammerne i hele perioden 2001-2006. Denne forpligtelse opfyldes imidlertid kun på tilfredsstillende vis af et fåtal af medlemsstater¹⁰.

⁹ Bilag VI til Kommissionens forordning (EF) nr. 391/2007 (EUT L 97 af 12.4.2007, s. 30) indeholder krav til indholdet af godtgørelsesanmodninger.

¹⁰ Bemærk, at dette er tilfældet på trods af, at Kommissionen fremsendte adskillige breve i begyndelsen af 2008, hvori der blev gjort opmærksom på de kriterier, som en sådan rapport skal opfylde.

Denne uensartede rapportering gør det vanskeligt at redegøre fyldestgørende for indvirkningen. Men visse tendenser forekommer i flere medlemsstaters evalueringsrapporter og kan derfor antages at afspejle bredere udviklingstendenser.

6.1. Stimuleringsvirkninger kontra besparelsvirkninger

Først og fremmest har EF-støtten tilsyneladende haft en mærkbar virkning på medlemsstaternes udgiftsadfærd. I stedet for blot at nedbringe udgifter, som ville have været afholdt under alle omstændigheder, erklærer to medlemsstater udtrykkeligt, at EF-medfinansieringen tilskyndede dem til at gennemføre projekter, som de ellers ikke ville have sat i værk¹¹. I de tilfælde, hvor EF-finansieringen ikke har medført, at der er blevet gennemført ellers økonomisk uoverkommelige projekter, førte den i nogle tilfælde til, at der i det mindste er valgt løsninger af højere kvalitet¹² og/eller en tidligere gennemførelse af projekter¹³.

6.2. Forbindelser og koordinering mellem medlemsstater

Et andet fællestræk i mange rapporter er den vægt, som lægges på forbindelser og koordinering, der siges at være forbedret mellem medlemsstaterne imellem¹⁴. Udvekslingsprogrammer for fiskerikontrollører og nyt edb-programmel til fiskeriovervågningscentrene, der gør det nemmere at udveksle oplysninger blandt medlemsstaterne, er blot to eksempler på dette.

6.3. Kvantitative virkninger

Foruden de kvalitative virkninger understreger medlemsstaterne EF-støttens kvantitative virkninger. Dette gælder navnlig investeringer i kontroludstyr (f.eks. skibe og luftfartøjer) og uddannelseskurser, som har gjort det muligt at forberede et stort antal nye medarbejdere på deres arbejde i fiskerikontrollen.

6.4. Kontrolprogrammernes indvirkning i tal

Selv om hovedparten af de medlemsstater, der rapporterer om EF-støttens indvirkninger, ikke oplyser konkrete tal, anvender fire af medlemsstaterne numeriske indikatorer som fastsat i artikel 16, litra b), nr. iii), i Rådets beslutning 2004/465/EF.

Spanien angiver antallet af årlige inspektioner. Antallet af inspektioner udført pr. skib er steget fra 320 i 2001 til 2 612 i 2006, hvilket har givet en stigning i antallet af fastslåede overtrædelser fra 129 i 2001 til 959 i 2006.

De italienske myndigheder angiver for samme periode en stigning i det samlede antal kontroller (ikke alene kontroller på havet) på 71 %. Også i dette tilfælde kunne der konstateres gavnlige virkninger, idet antallet af afslørede alvorlige og administrative overtrædelser steg med henholdsvis 58 % og 26 %.

I den portugisiske rapport opstilles antallet af observerede og inspicerede fartøjer i 2006 i forhold til de tilsvarende tal for 2001. Der konstateres en imponerende stigning, nemlig fra henholdsvis 1 432 til 33 975 og 1 929 til 6 750.

Estland gav en indikator for udviklingen med hensyn til overtrædelser inden for rapportering og registrering af data fra 2004 til 2007. Det generelt lave antal konstaterede overtrædelser gør det imidlertid umuligt at udlede statistisk signifikante konklusioner.

¹¹ Rapporteret af Malta og Tyskland.

¹² Rapporteret af Spanien.

¹³ Rapporteret af Belgien, Sverige og Malta.

¹⁴ Rapporteret af Danmark, Estland, Frankrig, Litauen, Sverige og Tyskland.

En ekstrapolering af tallene i dette afsnit med henblik på at opstille et overslag over investeringernes indvirkning på andre medlemsstater er ikke mulig af forskellige årsager. Men tallene giver i det mindste et vist belæg for at antage, at EF-finansiering har haft en mærkbar indvirkning på medlemsstaternes kontrolkapacitet og umiddelbare fordele for kontrolmyndighedernes præstationer.

7. AFSLUTTENDE ANBEFALINGER

Trods EF-støttens gunstige indvirkning på fiskerikontrol påpeger denne rapport også, at der er plads til forbedringer, der kan udmøntes i følgende praktiske foranstaltninger:

Kommissionen bør:

- fortsat lade det stigende antal projekter vedrørende bygning og modernisering af skibe og luftfartøjer være underkastet en kritisk behovsanalyse
- i fremtidige finansieringsbeslutninger lægge vægt på medfinansiering af automatisering og forvaltning af data, e-logbøger og FOS samt uddannelseskurser og udvekslingsprogrammer, især inden for ulovligt fiskeri
- indgå aftalememoranda med medlemsstater, som endnu ikke har undertegnet et sådant¹⁵. Sigtet med sådanne aftaler er at fastlægge behovet for forbedring i de nationale kontrolordninger for så vidt angår finansielle EF-støtte til fiskerikontrol. Med sådanne aftaler skabes en ramme for en strategisk risikoanalyse og gør det muligt at definere benchmarks for sådanne forbedringer. Disse benchmarks vil omfatte alle emner vedrørende inspektion, fra nationale regelsæt til inspektionsmidler og –procedurer eller anvendelse af FOS og andre data. De vil også være en rettesnor for de forbedringer, der er behov for i den administrative del af kontrollen, i den nationale organisationsstruktur og i opfølgningen af overtrædelser.

Medlemsstaterne bør:

- begrænse omfanget af de årlige kontrolprogrammer, så det er realistisk at alle projekter kan gennemføres
- (EU-10) ikke være tilbageholdende med at investere i uddannelseskurser og udvekslingsprogrammer for kontrolpersonale
- gøre administrationen mere opmærksom på muligheden for at medfinansiere udgiftskategorier, som hidtil ikke har været udnyttet i nævneværdigt omfang i medlemsstaternes kontrolprogrammer. Opmærksomheden bør navnlig henledes på en indsats for at oprette en ordning til vurdering af udgifterne, idet et sådant system kunne gøre det lettere for medlemsstaterne at nå ovennævnte mål om mere selektive årlige kontrolprogrammer
- sikre, at deres ikke-gennemførte andel nedbringes yderligere. Medlemsstater, der i den forbindelse drager fordel af maksimal medfinansiering over gennemsnittet, har et særligt ansvar og bør sørge for, at den ikke-gennemførte andel ligger under gennemsnittet

¹⁵ Indtil videre er der undertegnet et aftalememorandum mellem Kommissionen og henholdsvis Grækenland, Polen og Sverige.

- sikre, at personale med ansvar for programmernes gennemførelse har fuldt kendskab til reglerne om udgifters støtteberettigelse for derigennem at mindske risikoen for, at Kommissionen erklærer udgifterne i støtteansøgningerne for ikkestøtteberettigede
- sikre gennemførelse af aftalememoranda, som indgås i forståelse med Kommissionen
- nøje følge det foreskrevne format og fristerne for indgivelse af de årlige evalueringsrapporter.

Annex I – Maximum contribution rates per Council Decision & actual contribution rates per Commission Financing Decision

TYPES OF ELIGIBLE EXPENDITURE & MAXIMUM CONTRIBUTION RATES (in %)		ACTUAL CONTRIBUTION RATES (in %)					
Council Decision 2001/431/EC	Council Decision 2004/465/EC	Financing Decisions:					
		2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
IT:							
65%	50%	65%	50%				
NEW TECHNOLOGIES:							
50%; >50% for VMS/logbook	>50%	50%; 50%/100% for VMS, electr. logbook		50%			
	VMS/REPORT. DEVICES:						
	lump sum			for VMS: 50%/100%			
TRAINING:							
50%	50%	50%					
NEW INSPECTION SCHEDULES:							
50%	50%	n/a		50%	n/a		
EQUIPMENT:							
35%	25%; 50% for new MS	35%		25%; 50% for new MS			
EXPENDITURE ASSESSMENT:							
50%	50%	50%			n/a	50%	
	SEMINARS:						
	>50%			75%			
	STUDIES:						
	100%			n/a			

Annex II – Ranking of the Member States regarding non-execution rate, maximum contribution & de-commitment (for the period 2001-03)

Place	Member State	Non-execution Rate	Member State	Maximum Contribution (in EUR)	Member State	De-commitment (in EUR)
1	Austria	95%	Portugal*	22.029.251	United Kingdom	6.617.895
2	Belgium	75%	Spain	16.717.903	Greece	4.796.214
3	Denmark	58%	United Kingdom	16.453.097	Spain	4.773.458
4	Greece	57%	Italy	10.568.802	Italy	2.583.399
5	France	57%	Ireland*	9.369.700	France	2.387.880
6	Germany	41%	Greece	8.455.000	Denmark	1.917.704
7	United Kingdom	40%	France	4.209.308	Germany	473.615
8	Finland	36%	Sweden*	3.891.863	Finland	469.799
9	Spain	29%	Denmark	3.304.734	Netherlands*	343.294
10	Italy	24%	Netherlands*	3.021.667	Belgium	299.770
11	Netherlands*	11%	Finland	1.300.320	Portugal*	269.711
12	Portugal*	1%	Germany	1.141.615	Austria	27.336
13	Ireland*	0%	Belgium	398.228	Ireland*	0
14	Sweden*	0%	Austria	28.889	Sweden*	0
	Average:	37% 51%**	Overall:	100.890.377	Overall	24.960.075

* Member States with an outstanding commitments rate significantly higher than the overall outstanding commitments rate
 ** average after the exclusion of the Member States indicated with an asterisk

Annex III¹⁶ – Overview of the Execution of Community Funds per Member State for the Periods 2001-03 and 2004-06 respectively

AUSTRIA	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 2(a): IT equipment:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 2(b): experimentation with / implementation of new technologies for fisheries control:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 2(c): training of staff:								
2001*	19.259	50%	9.630	0	9.630	100	0	0
2002**	19.259	50%	9.630	0	9.630	100	0	0
2003***	19.259	50%	9.630	1.553	8.077	84	0	0
Art. 2(d): implementation of new inspection and observer schedules in framework of RFOs:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							

¹⁶ All tables in this Annex are based upon Commission-internal follow-up tables of the execution of Community funds and have last been updated on 12/12/2008.

2003***	0							
Art. 2(e): acquisition / modernisation of control, inspection, surveillance equipment:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 10: implementation of an expenditure assessment system:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
TOTAL:								
2001*	19.259		9.630	0	9.630	100	0	0
2002**	19.259		9.630	0	9.630	100	0	0
2003***	19.259		9.630	1.553	8.077	84	0	0
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2002/5/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2002/6/EC and 2002/978/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2003/566/EC (amended by 2004/64/EC) and 2004/65/EC.</p>								

AUSTRIA	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							

2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
TOTAL:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.								

BELGIUM	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 2(a): IT equipment:								
2001*	12.395	65%	8.057	0	8.057	100	0	0
2002**	24.790	50%	12.395	0	12.395	100	0	0
2003***	24.790	50%	12.395	0	12.395	100	0	0
Art. 2(b): experimentation with / implementation of new technologies for fisheries control:								
2001*	24.790	50%	12.395	0	12.395	100	0	0
2002**	94.200	50%	47.100	21.338	25.762	55	0	0
2003***	107.800	50%/100%	91.650	41.175	50.475	55	0	0
Art. 2(c): training of staff:								
2001*	9.914	50%	4.957	0	4.957	100	0	0
2002**	9.914	50%	4.957	0	4.957	100	0	0
2003***	9.914	50%	4.957	0	4.957	100	0	0
Art. 2(d): implementation of new inspection and observer schedules in framework of RFOs:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 2(e): acquisition / modernisation of control, inspection, surveillance equipment:								
2001*	0							

2002**	223.105	35%	78.087	0	78.087	100	0	0
2003***	463.020	35%	121.279	35.945	85.334	70	0	0
Art. 10: implementation of an expenditure assessment system:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
TOTAL:								
2001*	47.099		25.409	0	25.409	100	0	0
2002**	352.009		142.539	21.338	121.201	85	0	0
2003***	605.524		230.281	77.120	153.161	67	0	0
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2002/5/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2002/6/EC and 2002/978/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2003/566/EC (amended by 2004/64/EC) and 2004/65/EC.</p>								

BELGIUM	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	5.000	50%	2.500	0	2.500	100	0	0
2005**	15.000	50%	7.500	0	0	0	7.500	100
2006***	10.000	50%	5.000	0	5.000	100	0	0
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							

2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	5.000	75%	3.750	0	3.750	100	0	0
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	0							
2005**	11.550.000	25%	2.887.500	0	2.887.500	100	0	0
2006***	0							
TOTAL:								
2004*	5.000		2.500	0	2.500	100	0	0
2005**	11.565.000		2.895.000	0	2.887.500	100	7.500	0
2006***	15.000		8.750	0	8.750	100	0	0
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</p>								

CYPRUS	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	83.000	50%	41.500	0	0		41.500	
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	107.800	50%/100 %	90.650	0	90.650	100	0	0
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	20.000	50%	10.000	2.367	7633	76	0	0
2005**	0							
2006***	15.000	50%	7.500	0	0	0	7.500	100
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							

2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools:								
2004*	30.000	75%	22.500	998	21.502	96	0	0
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	1.400.000	50%	700.000	0	700.000	100	0	0
2005**	0							
2006***	2.300.000	50%	1.150.000	575.000	0	0	575.000	50
TOTAL:								
2004*	1.557.800		823.150	3.365	819.785	100	0	0
2005**	0							
2006***	2.398.000		1.199.000	575.000	0	0	624.000	52
*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.								

DENMARK	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 2(a): IT equipment:								
2001*	30.000	65%	19.500	19.500	0	0	0	0
2002**	1.580.000	50%	790.000	476.582	313.418	40	0	0
2003***	640.000	50%	320.000	82.499	237.501	74	0	0
Art. 2(b): experimentation with / implementation of new technologies for fisheries control:								
2001*	380.000	50%/100%	195.000	107.506	87.494	45	0	0
2002**	1.780.000	50%	890.000	1.569	888.431	100	0	0
2003***	1.159.968	50%/100%	920.234	556.751	363.483	39	0	0
Art. 2(c): training of staff:								
2001*	80.000	50%	40.000	39.198	802	2	0	0
2002**	160.000	50%	80.000	67.391	12.609	16	0	0
2003***	100.000	50%	50.000	36.035	13.965	28	0	0
Art. 2(d): implementation of new inspection and observer schedules in framework of RFOs:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 2(e): acquisition / modernisation of control, inspection, surveillance equipment:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							

2003***	0							
Art. 10: implementation of an expenditure assessment system:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
TOTAL:								
2001*	490.000		254.500	166.204	88.296	35	0	0
2002**	3.520.000		1.760.000	545.542	1.214.458	69	0	0
2003***	1.899.968		1.290.234	675.285	614.949	48	0	0
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2002/5/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2002/6/EC and 2002/978/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2003/566/EC (amended by 2004/64/EC) and 2004/65/EC.</p>								

DENMARK	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	271.000	50%	135.500	80.288	55.212	41	0	0
2005**	525.000	50%	262.500	158.695	0	0	103.805	40
2006***	1.333.334	50%	666.667	0	0	0	666.667	100
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	482.142	50%/100 %	401.571	333.075	68.496	17	0	0
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	750.000	50%	375.000	375.000	0	0	0	0
2006***	275.000	50%	137.500	0	0	0	137.500	100
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	56.500	50%	28.250	16.927	11323	40	0	0
2005**	150.000	50%	75.000	64.148	0	0	10.852	14
2006***	523.199	50%	261.600	0	0	0	261.600	100
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							

2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	93.333	50%	46.667	0	0	0	46.667	100
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	0							
2005**	40.000	75%	30.000	0	0	0	30.000	100
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
TOTAL:								
2004*	809.642		565.321	430.291	135.030	24	0	0
2005**	1.465.000		742.500	597.843	0	0	144.657	19
2006***	2.224.866		1.112.434	0	0	0	1.112.434	100
<small>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</small>								

ESTONIA	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	229.217	50%	114.609	65.875	48.734	43	0	0
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	115.050	50%/100%	101.775	0	101.775	100	0	0
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	9.590	50%	4.795	0	4795	100	0	0
2005**	0							
2006***	13.195	50%	6.598	641	5.956	90	0	0
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							

2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	300.704	50%	150.352	106.551	43.801	29	0	0
TOTAL:								
2004*	124.640		106.570	0	106.570	100	0	0
2005**	0							
2006***	543.116		271.558	173.067	98.491	36	0	0
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</p>								

FINLAND	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 2(a): IT equipment:								
2001*	176.597	65%	114.788	97.232	17.556	15	0	0
2002**	264.896	50%	132.448	76.212	56.236	42	0	0
2003***	900.000	50%	450.000	450.000	0	0	0	0
Art. 2(b): experimentation with / implementation of new technologies for fisheries control:								
2001*	248.918	50%/100%	194.824	33.610	161.214	83	0	0
2002**	160.788	50%/100%	153.688	102.330	51.358	33	0	0
2003***	310.000	50%/100%	163.000	30.832	132.168	81	0	0
Art. 2(c): training of staff:								
2001*	26.910	50%	13.455	5.119	8.336	62	0	0
2002**	30.274	50%	15.137	7.181	7.956	53	0	0
2003***	20.000	50%	10.000	5.140	4.860	49	0	0
Art. 2(d): implementation of new inspection and observer schedules in framework of RFOs:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 2(e): acquisition / modernisation of control, inspection, surveillance equipment:								
2001*	33.638	35%	11.773	0	11.773	100	0	0
2002**	117.732	35%	41.206	22.864	18.342	45	0	0

2003***	0							
Art. 10: implementation of an expenditure assessment system:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
TOTAL:								
2001*	486.063		334.840	135.961	198.879	59	0	0
2002**	573.690		342.479	208.587	133.892	39	0	0
2003***	1.230.000		623.000	485.972	137.028	22	0	0
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2002/5/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2002/6/EC and 2002/978/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2003/566/EC (amended by 2004/64/EC) and 2004/65/EC.</p>								

FINLAND	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	545.000	50%	272.500	17.943	254.557	93	0	0
2005**	600.000	50%	300.000	25.760	274.240	91	0	0
2006***	402.000	50%	201.000	87.628	0	0	113.372	56
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	190.800	50%/100%	108.000	78.000	30.000	28	0	0
2005**	0							
2006***	33.000	50%/100%	22.820	0	0	0	22.820	100
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	30.000	50%	15.000	3.147	11853	79	0	0
2005**	30.000	50%	15.000	4.092	10.908	73	0	0
2006***	24.200	50%	12.100	2.405	0	0	9.695	80
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							

2006****	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006****	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006****	0							
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	105.000	25%	26.250	23.929	2.321	9	0	0
2005**	0							
2006****	0							
TOTAL:								
2004*	870.800		421.750	123.018	298.732	71	0	0
2005**	630.000		315.000	29.852	285.148	91	0	0
2006****	459.200		235.920	90.033	0	0	145.887	62
<small>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</small>								

FRANC E	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 2(a): IT equipment:								
2001*	153.000	65%	99.450	0	99.450	100	0	0
2002**	1.000.000	50%	500.000	0	500.000	100	0	0
2003***	153.000	50%	76.500	33.975	0	0	42.525	56
Art. 2(b): experimentation with / implementation of new technologies for fisheries control:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	2.100.000	50%/100%	1.500.000	160.165	750.000	50	589.835	39
Art. 2(c): training of staff:								
2001*	0							
2002**	305.000	50%	152.500	6.070	146.430			
2003***	70.000	50%	35.000	15.000	10.000	29	10.000	29
Art. 2(d): implementation of new inspection and observer schedules in framework of RFOs:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 2(e): acquisition / modernisation of control, inspection, surveillance equipment:								
2001*	2.520.000	35%	882.000	0	882.000	100	0	0
2002**	2.520.000	35%	882.000	0	0	0	882.000	100

2003***	233.881	35%	81.858	81.858	0	0	0	0
Art. 10: implementation of an expenditure assessment system:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
TOTAL:								
2001*	2.673.000		981.450	0	981.450	100	0	0
2002**	3.825.000		1.534.500	6.070	646.430	42	882.000	57
2003***	2.556.881		1.693.358	290.998	760.000	45	642.360	38
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2002/5/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2002/6/EC and 2002/978/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2003/566/EC (amended by 2004/64/EC) and 2004/65/EC.</p>								

FRANC E	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	1.800.000	50%/40%	750.000	743.830	0	0	6.170	1
2005**	150.000	50%	75.000	75.000	0	0	0	0
2006***	935.000	50%	467.500	177.327	0	0	290.173	62
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	2.047.500	50%/100%	1.365.000	363.626	0	0	1.001.374	73
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	130.000	50%	65.000	0	0	0	65.000	100
2005**	34.050	50%	17.025	4.622	12.403	73	0	0
2006***	58.350	50%	29.175	0	0	0	29.175	100
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							

2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	0							
2005**	286.000	25%	71.500	0	0	0	71.500	100
2006***	0							
TOTAL:								
2004*	3.977.500		2.180.000	1.107.456	0	0	1.072.544	49
2005**	470.050		163.525	79.622	12.403	8	71.500	44
2006***	993.350		496.675	177.327	0	0	319.348	64
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</p>								

GERMANY	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 2(a): IT equipment:								
2001*	0							
2002**	127.823	50%	63.912	63.912	0	0	0	0
2003***	360.000	50%	180.000	54.913	125.087	69	0	0
Art. 2(b): experimentation with / implementation of new technologies for fisheries control:								
2001*	127.823	50%	63.912	46.293	17.618	28	0	0
2002**	0							
2003***	595.824	50%	297.912	149.675	129.069	43	19.168	6
Art. 2(c): training of staff:								
2001*	34.257	50%	17.129	4.151	12.978	76	0	0
2002**	47.113	50%	23.557	8.954	14.602	62	0	0
2003***	20.713	50%	10.357	6.312	4.045	39	0	0
Art. 2(d): implementation of new inspection and observer schedules in framework of RFOs:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 2(e): acquisition / modernisation of control, inspection, surveillance equipment:								
2001*	100.842	35%	35.295	30.619	4.676	13	0	0
2002**	934.408	35%	327.043	278.963	48.079	15	0	0

2003***	350.000	35%	122.500	5.039	117.461	96	0	0
Art. 10: implementation of an expenditure assessment system:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
TOTAL:								
2001*	262.922		116.335	81.063	35.272	30	0	0
2002**	1.109.344		414.512	351.829	62.681	15	0	0
2003***	1.326.537		610.769	215.939	375.661	62	19.168	3
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2002/5/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2002/6/EC and 2002/978/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2003/566/EC (amended by 2004/64/EC) and 2004/65/EC.</p>								

GERMANY	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	235.000	50%	117.500	11.056	0	0	106.444	91
2005**	50.000	50%	25.000	0	0	0	25.000	100
2006***	210.000	50%	105.000	0	0	0	105.000	100
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	780.000	50%/100 %	585.000	341.250	0	0	243.750	42
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	52.500	50%	26.250	1.012	0	0	25.238	96
2005**	52.100	50%	26.050	3.002	0	0	23.048	88
2006***	64.000	50%	32.000	0	0	0	32.000	100
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							

2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	77.798	25%	19.449	0	0	0	19.449	100
2005**	51.331.250	25%	12.832.813	17.231	0	0	12.815.581	100
2006***	900.000	25%	225.000	0	0	0	225.000	100
TOTAL:								
2004*	1.145.298		748.199	353.318	0	0	394.881	53
2005**	51.433.350		12.883.863	20.233	0	0	12.863.629	100
2006***	1.174.000		362.000	0	0	0	362.000	100
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC.</p> <p>**The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC.</p> <p>***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</p>								

GREEC E	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 2(a): IT equipment:								
2001*	1.000.00 0	65%	650.000	0	650.000	100	0	0
2002**	1.500.00 0	50%	750.000	205.960	0	0	544.040	73
2003***	1.500.00 0	50%	750.000	0	0	0	750.000	100
Art. 2(b): experimentation with / implementation of new technologies for fisheries control:								
2001*	1.400.00 0	50%	700.000	19.030	680.970	97	0	0
2002**	1.500.00 0	50%	750.000	0	325.000	43	425.000	57
2003***	2.926.00 0	50%/100 %	1.335.00 0	618.101	266.974	20	449.925	34
Art. 2(c): training of staff:								
2001*	1.500.00 0	50%	750.000	141.088	608912	81	0	0
2002**	1.500.00 0	50%	750.000	26.391	723.609	96	0	0
2003***	1.500.00 0	50%	750.000	6.086	664.947	89	78.967	11
Art. 2(d): implementation of new inspection and observer schedules in framework of RFOs:								
2001*	0							

2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 2(e): acquisition / modernisation of control, inspection, surveillance equipment:								
2001*	0							
2002**	1.100.00 0	35%	385.000	0	179.200	47	205.800	53
2003***	1.100.00 0	35%	385.000	0	385.000	100	0	0
Art. 10: implementation of an expenditure assessment system:								
2001*	300.000	50%	150.000	90.254	59746	40	0	0
2002**	300.000	50%	150.000	0	150.000	100	0	0
2003***	400.000	50%	200.000	0	101.856	51	98.144	49
TOTAL:								
2001*	4.200.00 0		2.250.00 0	250.372	1.999.62 8	89	0	0
2002**	5.900.00 0		2.785.00 0	232.351	1.377.80 9	49	1.174.84 0	42
2003***	7.426.00 0		3.420.00 0	624.187	1.418.77 7	41	1.377.03 6	40
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2002/5/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2002/6/EC and 2002/978/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2003/566/EC (amended by 2004/64/EC) and 2004/65/EC.</p>								

GREECE	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	0							
2005**	310.000	50%	155.000	0	155.000	100	0	0
2006***	2.250.000	50%	1.125.000	0	1.125.000	100	0	0
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	2.569.600	50%/100%	876000	423.000	0	0	453.000	52
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	200.000	50%	100.000	0	0	0	100.000	100
2005**	370.000	50%	185.000	0	185.000	100	0	0
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	0							
2005**	40.000	50%	20.000	0	20.000	100	0	0
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							

2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	200.000	75%	150.000	0	150.000	100	0	0
2005**	75.000	75%	56.250	0	56.250	100	0	0
2006***	660.860	75%	495.645	0	495.645	100	0	0
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	1.050.000	25%	262.500	0	262.500	100	0	0
2005**	0							
2006***	2.301.312	25%	575.328	0	575.328	100	0	0
TOTAL:								
2004*	4.019.600		1.388.500	423.000	412.500	30	553.000	40
2005**	795.000		416.250	0	416.250	100	0	0
2006***	5.212.172		2.195.973	0	2.195.973	100	0	0
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</p>								

IRELAND	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 2(a): IT equipment:								
2001*	902.921	65%	586.899	586.899	0	0	0	0
2002**	3.813.702	50%	1.906.851	1.906.851	0	0	0	0
2003***	615.552	50%	307.776	282.381	0	0	25.395	8
Art. 2(b): experimentation with / implementation of new technologies for fisheries control:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	690.000	50%/100%	450.000	0	0	0	450.000	100
Art. 2(c): training of staff:								
2001*	133.323	50%	66.662	28.081	0	0	38.581	58
2002**	166.970	50%	83.485	47.049	0	0	36.436	44
2003***	0							
Art. 2(d): implementation of new inspection and observer schedules in framework of RFOs:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 2(e): acquisition / modernisation of control, inspection, surveillance equipment:								
2001*	1.539.057	35%	538.670	101.934	0	0	436.736	81
2002**	5.892.034	35%	2.062.212	1.923.687	0	0	138.525	7

2003***	10.181.919	35%	3.367.146	350.573	0	0	3.016.572	90
Art. 10: implementation of an expenditure assessment system:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
TOTAL:								
2001*	2.575.301		1.192.231	716.914	0	0	475.316	40
2002**	9.872.706		4.052.548	3.877.587	0	0	174.961	4
2003***	11.487.471		4.124.922	632.954	0	0	3.491.967	85
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2002/5/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2002/6/EC and 2002/978/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2003/566/EC (amended by 2004/64/EC) and 2004/65/EC.</p>								

IRELAND	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	2.000.000	50%	1.000.000	723.061	0	0	276.939	28
2005**	0							
2006***	250.000	50%	125.000	0	0	0	125.000	100
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	552.000	50%/100 %	360.000	0	0	0	360.000	100
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	200.000	50%	100.000	0	0	0	100.000	100
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							

2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	1.000.000	25%	250.000	0	0	0	250.000	100
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
TOTAL:								
2004*	3.552.000		1.610.000	723.061	0	0	886.939	55
2005**	0							
2006***	450.000		225.000	0	0	0	225.000	100
<small>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</small>								

ITALY	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 2(a): IT equipment:								
2001*	2.223.026	65%	1.444.967	939.228	0	0	505.739	35
2002**	1.755.953	50%	877.977	0	877.977	100	0	0
2003***	1.755.953	50%	877.977	438.989	438.989	50	0	0
Art. 2(b): experimentation with / implementation of new technologies for fisheries control:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	13.620.747	50%/100 %	4.415.200	3.826.100	112.500	3	476.600	11
Art. 2(c): training of staff:								
2001*	1.188.367	50%	594.184	560.549	33.634	6	0	0
2002**	1.373.775	50%	686.887	28.182	142.248	21	516.457	75
2003***	1.142.116	50%	571.058	285.529	0	0	285.529	50
Art. 2(d): implementation of new inspection and observer schedules in framework of RFOs:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 2(e): acquisition / modernisation of control, inspection, surveillance equipment:								
2001*	1.394.434	35%	488.052	0	488.052	100	0	0

2002**	1.400.000	35%	490.000	0	490.000	100	0	0
2003***	350.000	35%	122.500	122.500	0	0	0	0
Art. 10: implementation of an expenditure assessment system:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
TOTAL:								
2001*	4.805.827		2.527.203	1.499.778	521.686	21	505.739	20
2002**	4.529.728		2.054.864	28.182	1.510.225	73	516.457	25
2003***	16.868.816		5.986.735	4.673.118	551.489	9	762.129	13
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2002/5/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2002/6/EC and 2002/978/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2003/566/EC (amended by 2004/64/EC) and 2004/65/EC.</p>								

ITALY	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	1.755.953	50%	877.977	0	877.977	100	0	0
2005**	1.783.200	50%	891.600	445.800	0	0	445.800	50
2006***	4.000.000	50%	2.000.000	1.000.000	0	0	1.000.000	50
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	9.984.000	50%/100%	3.744.000	1.872.000	0	0	1.872.000	50
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	265.000	50%	132.500	0	0	0	132.500	100
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	1.270.816	50%	635.408	486.699	75763	12	72.946	11
2005**	1.191.075	50%	595.538	51.451	0	0	544.086	91
2006***	1.000.000	50%	500.000	39.769	0	0	460.231	92
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							

2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
TOTAL:								
2004*	13.010.769		5.257.385	2.358.699	953.740	18	1.944.946	37
2005**	3.239.275		1.619.638	497.251	0	0	1.122.386	69
2006***	5.000.000		2.500.000	1.039.769	0	0	1.460.231	58
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</p>								

LATVIA	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	23.300	50%	11.650	0	11.650	100	0	0
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							

2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
TOTAL:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	23.300		11.650	0	11.650	100	0	0
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</p>								

LITHUANIA	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	110.000	50%	55.000	48.846	0	0	6.154	11
2005**	0							
2006***	30.000	50%	15.000	11.618	0	0	3.382	23
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	30.000	50%/100 %	22.500	0	22.500	100	0	0
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	20.000	50%	10.000	3.524	0	0	6.476	65
2005**	12.000	50%	6.000	0	0	0	6.000	100
2006***	11.000	50%	5.500	1.459	0	0	4.041	73
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							

2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	10.000	75%	7.500	0	0	0	7.500	100
2005**	8.000	75%	6.000	0	0	0	6.000	100
2006***	9.000	75%	6.750	693	0	0	6.057	90
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	0							
2005**	210.000	50%	105.000	0	105.000	100	0	0
2006***	500.000	50%	250.000	250.000	0	0	0	0
TOTAL:								
2004*	170.000		95.000	52.370	22.500	24	20.130	21
2005**	230.000		117.000	0	105.000	90	12.000	10
2006***	550.000		277.250	263.771	0	0	13.479	5
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</p>								

MALTA	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	96.763	50%	48.381	0	0	0	48.381	100
2005**	577.446	50%	288.723	0	0	0	288.723	100
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	321.943	50%/100 %	159.000	146.999	0	0	12.001	8
2005**	0							
2006***	190.944	50%/100 %	132.972	132.538	0	0	434	0
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	600.901	50%	300.451	0	0	0	300.451	100
2005**	0							
2006***	8.196	50%	4.098	4.098	0	0	0	0
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							

2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	600.000	50%	300.000	0	0	0	300.000	100
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
TOTAL:								
2004*	1.619.607		807.832	146.999	0	0	660.833	82
2005**	577.446		288.723	0	0	0	288.723	100
2006***	199.140		137.070	136.636	0	0	434	0
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</p>								

NETHER-
LANDS

Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
----------------------	-------------------	----------------------	-------------------	-------------------------	---	-------------------------	---

Art. 2(a): IT equipment:

2001*	794.115	65%	516.175	358.570	157.605	31	0	0
2002**	1.025.543	50%	512.772	0	0	0	512.772	100
2003***	443.732	50%	221.866	0	0	0	221.866	100

Art. 2(b): experimentation with / implementation of new technologies for fisheries control:

2001*	324.453	50%	162.227	0	162.227	100	0	0
2002**	176.974	50%	88.487	0	0	0	88.487	100
2003***	1.074.406	100%	761.953	0	0	0	761.953	100

Art. 2(c): training of staff:

2001*	127.421	50%	63.711	40.248	23.463	37	0	0
2002**	208.512	50%	104.256	0	0	0	104.256	100
2003***	68.680	50%	34.340	0	0	0	34.340	100

Art. 2(d): implementation of new inspection and observer schedules in framework of RFOs:

2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							

Art. 2(e): acquisition / modernisation of control, inspection, surveillance equipment:

2001*	1.588.231	35%	555.881	555.881	0	0	0	0
2002**	0							

2003***	0							
Art. 10: implementation of an expenditure assessment system:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
TOTAL:								
2001*	2.834.220		1.297.994	954.699	343.294	26	0	0
2002**	1.411.029		705.515	0	0	0	705.515	100
2003***	1.586.818		1.018.159	0	0	0	1.018.159	100
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2002/5/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2002/6/EC and 2002/978/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2003/566/EC (amended by 2004/64/EC) and 2004/65/EC.</p>								

NETHERLANDS	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	310.325	50%	155.163	0	0	0	155.163	100
2005**	417.325	50%	208.663	0	0	0	208.663	100
2006***	470.505	50%	235.253	0	0	0	235.253	100
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	722.500	50%/100%	488.750	0	0	0	488.750	100
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	139.674	50%	69.837	0	0	0	69.837	100
2005**	178.620	50%	89.310	0	0	0	89.310	100
2006***	144.093	50%	72.047	0	0	0	72.047	100
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							

2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***								
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	565.000	25%	141.250	0	0	0	141.250	100
TOTAL:								
2004*	1.172.499		713.750	0	0	0	713.750	100
2005**	595.945		297.973	0	0	0	297.973	100
2006***	1.179.598		448.550	0	0	0	448.549	100
*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.								

PORTUGAL	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 2(a): IT equipment:								
2001*	2.663.406	65%	1.731.214	1.244.154	0	0	487.060	28
2002**	42.000	50%	21.000	8.506	0	0	12.494	59
2003***	74.820	50%	37.410	0	37.410	100	0	0
Art. 2(b): experimentation with / implementation of new technologies for fisheries control:								
2001*	240.666	50%/100%	145.828	24.939	26.051	18	94.838	65
2002**	5.258.250	50%	2.629.125	1.207.750	206.250	8	1.215.125	46
2003***	1.939.868	50%/100%	1.218.000	0	0	0	1.218.000	100
Art. 2(c): training of staff:								
2001*	764.705	50%	382.353	3.100	0	0	379.253	99
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 2(d): implementation of new inspection and observer schedules in framework of RFOs:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 2(e): acquisition / modernisation of control, inspection, surveillance equipment:								
2001*	6.610.230	35%	2.313.581	835.351	0	0	1.478.230	64
2002**	38.602.391	35%	13.510.837	773.205	0	0	12.737.632	94

2003***	0							
Art. 10: implementation of an expenditure assessment system:								
2001*	79.808	50%	39.904	39.882	0	0	22	0
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
TOTAL:								
2001*	10.358.815		4.612.880	2.147.426	26.051	1	2.439.403	53
2002**	43.902.641		16.160.962	1.989.462	206.250	1	13.965.250	86
2003***	2.014.688		1.255.410	0	37.410	3	1.218.000	97
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2002/5/EC.</p> <p>**The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2002/6/EC and 2002/978/EC.</p> <p>***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2003/566/EC (amended by 2004/64/EC) and 2004/65/EC.</p>								

PORTUGAL	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	2.291.616	50%	1.145.808	0	118.500	10	1.027.308	90
2005**	2.568.260	50%	1.284.130	0	1.284.130	100	0	0
2006***	667.790	50%	333.895	0	0	0	333.895	100
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	586.000	50%	293.000	0	293.000	100	0	0
2005**	0							
2006***	249.700	50%	124.850	0	0	0	124.850	100
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	102.967	50%	51.484	0	51484	100	0	0
2005**	95.588	50%	47.794	0	47.794	100	0	0
2006***	25.600	50%	12.800	0	0	0	12.800	100
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	94.910	50%	47.455	0	0	0	47.455	100
2005**	0							
2006***								

Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	50.000	50%	25.000	0	0	0	25.000	100
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	0							
2005**	75.000	75%	56.250	0	56.250	100	0	0
2006***	68.750	75%	51.563	0	0	0	51.563	100
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	4.630.000	25%	1.157.500	0	1.157.500	100	0	0
2005**	720.000	25%	180.000	0	180.000	100	0	0
2006***	16.442.150	25%	4.110.537	0	0	0	4.110.537	100
TOTAL:								
2004*	7.755.493		2.720.247	0	1.620.484	60	1.099.763	40
2005**	3.458.848		1.568.174	0	1.568.174	100	0	0
2006***	17.453.990		4.633.645	0	0	0	4.633.645	100
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC.</p> <p>**The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC.</p> <p>***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</p>								

POLAND	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	0							
2005**	60.000	50%	30.000	0	0	0	30.000	100
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	585.000	50%/100%	468.000	342.999	125.001	27	0	0
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	150.000	50%	75.000	0	0	0	75.000	100
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							

2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	200.000	75%	150.000	0	0	0	150.000	100
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	0							
2005**	3.600.000	50%	1.800.000	33.081	0	0	1.766.919	98
2006***	0							
TOTAL:								
2004*	585.000		468.000	342.999	125.001	27	0	0
2005**	3.660.000		1.830.000	33.081	0	0	1.796.919	98
2006***	350.000		225.000	0	0	0	225.000	100
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</p>								

SLOVENIA	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	250.354	50%	125.177	72.248	52.929	42	0	0
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	48.000	50%	24.000	0	0	0	24.000	100
2005**	0							
2006***	25.760	50%/100%	18.880	11.958	6.922	37	0	0
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	35.808	50%	17.904	446	17.458	98	0	0
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							

2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	6.008	75%	4.506	428	4.078	91	0	0
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	50.792	50%	25.396	1.982	23.414	92	0	0
TOTAL:								
2004*	48.000		24.000	0	0	0	24.000	100
2005**	0							
2006***	368.722		191.863	87.062	104.801	55	0	0
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</p>								

SPAIN	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 2(a): IT equipment:								
2001*	521.752	65%	339.138	16.100	323.039	95	0	0
2002**	1.762.821	50%	881.410	18.030	863.380	98	0	0
2003***	923.812	50%	461.906	156.149	18.631	4	287.126	62
Art. 2(b): experimentation with / implementation of new technologies for fisheries control:								
2001*	40.000	50%/100 %	29.000	18.850	10.150	35	0	0
2002**	321.654	50%	160.827	55.650	105.177	65	0	0
2003***	3.905.470	50%/100 %	2.477.735	1.964.840	512.896	21	0	0
Art. 2(c): training of staff:								
2001*	76.857	50%	38.429	3.874	34.554	90	0	0
2002**	221.172	50%	110.586	9.725	100.861	91	0	0
2003***	239.793	50%	119.897	8.791	111.106	93	0	0
Art. 2(d): implementation of new inspection and observer schedules in framework of RFOs:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 2(e): acquisition / modernisation of control, inspection, surveillance equipment:								
2001*	11.827.663	35%	4.139.682	3.461.836	677.846	16	0	0

2002**	14.648.841	35%	5.127.094	3.629.765	1.497.329	29	0	0
2003***	15.118.519	35%	2.832.199	2.313.710	518.489	18	0	0

Art. 10: implementation of an expenditure assessment system:

2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							

TOTAL:

2001*	12.466.272		4.546.249	3.500.660	1.045.589	23	0	0
2002**	16.954.488		6.279.917	3.713.170	2.566.747	41	0	0
2003***	20.187.594		5.891.737	4.443.489	1.161.122	20	287.126	5

*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2002/5/EC.
**The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2002/6/EC and 2002/978/EC.
***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2003/566/EC (amended by 2004/64/EC) and 2004/65/EC.

SPAIN	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	0							
2005**	719.828	50%	359.914	322.440	0	0	37.474	10
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	2.866.500	50%/100%	1.911.000	1.057.500	853.500	45	0	0
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	183.703	50%	91.852	12.342	79510	87	0	0
2005**	230.236	50%	115.118	20.019	0	0	95.099	83
2006***	86.640	50%	43.320	15.703	0	0	27.617	64
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							

2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	6.000	75%	4.500	0	4.500	100	0	0
2005**	6.000	75%	4.500	0	0	0	4.500	100
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	22.238.597	25%	5.559.649	5.436.071	123.578	2	0	0
2005**	4.350.804	25%	1.087.701	589.870	0	0	497.831	46
2006***	24.683.674	25%	6.170.918	4.393.144	0	0	1.777.775	29
TOTAL:								
2004*	25.294.799		7.567.001	6.505.913	1.061.087	14	0	0
2005**	5.306.868		1.567.233	932.329	0	0	634.904	41
2006***	24.770.314		6.214.238	4.408.847	0	0	1.805.392	29
<small>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</small>								

SWEDEN	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 2(a): IT equipment:								
2001*	316.905	65%	205.988	202.498	0	0	3.491	2
2002**	316.905	50%	158.453	21.856	0	0	136.597	86
2003***	766.904	50%	383.452	9.916	0	0	373.536	97
Art. 2(b): experimentation with / implementation of new technologies for fisheries control:								
2001*	327.833	50%/100 %	305.285	113.748	0	0	191.537	63
2002**	273.195	50%/100 %	191.237	54.639	0	0	136.598	71
2003***	611.415	50%/100 %	469.945	2.829	0	0	467.116	99
Art. 2(c): training of staff:								
2001*	16.392	50%	8.888	8.888	0	0	0	0
2002**	49.174	50%	24.587	2.867	0	0	21.720	88
2003***	27.319	50%	13.660	832	0	0	12.828	94
Art. 2(d): implementation of new inspection and observer schedules in framework of RFOs:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 2(e): acquisition / modernisation of control, inspection, surveillance equipment:								

2001*	1.016.282	35%	355.699	267.812	0	0	87.886	25
2002**	611.955	35%	214.184	0	0	0	214.184	100
2003***	4.458.529	35%	1.560.485	0	0	0	1.560.485	100
Art. 10: implementation of an expenditure assessment system:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
TOTAL:								
2001*	1.677.412		875.860	592.947	0	0	282.914	32
2002**	1.251.229		588.461	79.362	0	0	509.098	87
2003***	5.864.167		2.427.542	13.578	0	0	2.413.964	99
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2002/5/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2002/6/EC and 2002/978/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2003/566/EC (amended by 2004/64/EC) and 2004/65/EC.</p>								

SWEDEN	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	87.430	50%	43.715	0	0	0	43.715	100
2005**	111.200	50%	55.600	0	0	0	55.600	100
2006***	120.000	50%	60.000	0	0	0	60.000	100
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	262.320	50%/100%	176.160	0	0	0	176.160	100
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	166.070	50%	83.035	0	0	0	83.035	100
2006***	130.000	50%	65.000	0	0	0	65.000	100
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	132.790	50%	66.395	0	0	0	66.395	100
2005**	40.000	50%	20.000	0	0	0	20.000	100
2006***	22.000	50%	11.000	0	0	0	11.000	100
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	474.400	50%	237.200	20.704	0	0	216.496	91
2005**	0							

2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	230.000	75%	172.500	0	0	0	172.500	100
2005**	0							
2006***	210.000	75%	157.500	0	0	0	157.500	100
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	5.700.000	25%	1.425.000	76.008	0	0	1.348.992	95
2005**	0							
2006***	18.000.000	25%	4.500.000	0	0	0	4.500.000	100
TOTAL:								
2004*	6.886.940		2.120.970	96.712	0	0	2.024.258	95
2005**	317.270		158.635	0	0	0	158.635	100
2006***	18.482.000		4.793.500	0	0	0	4.793.500	100
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</p>								

UNITED KINGDOM	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 2(a): IT equipment:								
2001*	232.404	65%	151.063	86.342	64721	43	0	0
2002**	132.802	50%	66.401	66.401	0	0	0	0
2003***	527.662	50%	263.831	150.367	0	0	113.464	43
Art. 2(b): experimentation with / implementation of new technologies for fisheries control:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 2(c): training of staff:								
2001*	353.069	50%	176.535	42.760	133.774	76	0	0
2002**	360.373	50%	180.187	22.158	15.206	8	142.822	79
2003***	265.118	50%	132.559	36.109	6.426	5	90.024	68
Art. 2(d): implementation of new inspection and observer schedules in framework of RFOs:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
Art. 2(e): acquisition / modernisation of control, inspection, surveillance equipment:								
2001*	7.597.552	35%	2.659.143	2.100.213	558.930	21	0	0
2002**	23.890.638	35%	8.361.723	5.460.109	2.836.862	34	64.753	1

2003***	14.372.610	35%	4.461.656	1.302.292	3.001.976	67	157.388	4
Art. 10: implementation of an expenditure assessment system:								
2001*	0							
2002**	0							
2003***	0							
TOTAL:								
2001*	8.183.025		2.986.740	2.229.315	757.425	25	0	0
2002**	24.383.813		8.608.311	5.548.669	2.852.068	33	207.575	2
2003***	15.165.390		4.858.046	1.488.768	3.008.402	62	360.877	7
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2002/5/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2002/6/EC and 2002/978/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2003/566/EC (amended by 2004/64/EC) and 2004/65/EC.</p>								

UNITED KINGDOM	Eligible Expenditure	Contribution Rate	Maximum Contribution	Contribution Paid	Decommitted Expenditure	Decommitted Expenditure as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution	Outstanding Commitments	Outstanding Commitments as a Percentage of the Maximum Contribution
Art. 4(1)(a): new technologies and IT networks:								
2004*	179.134	50%	89.567	88.178	0	0	1.389	2
2005**	767.453	50%	383.727	158.697	0	0	225.030	59
2006***	838.148	50%	419.074	226.065	0	0	193.009	46
Art. 4(1)(b)(i): electronic localisation devices:								
2004*	4.190.616	50%/100%	2.831.808	0	0	0	2.831.808	100
2005**	849.955	50%/100%	611.793	556.535	0	0	55.258	9
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(c): pilot projects on new technologies:								
2004*	0							
2005**	458.628	50%	229.314	0	157.117	69	72.197	31
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(d): training:								
2004*	175.512	50%	87.756	20.950	0	0	66.806	76
2005**	191.564	50%	95.782	26.709	0	0	69.073	72
2006***	160.305	50%	80.153	12.437	0	0	67.715	84
Art. 4(1)(e): pilot inspection and observer schemes:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							

2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(f): cost/benefit analysis and assessment of expenditure:								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	0							
Art. 4(1)(g): seminars and media tools								
2004*	0							
2005**	0							
2006***	37.299	75%	27.974	6.816	0	0	21.158	76
Art. 4(1)(h): patrol vessels and aircraft:								
2004*	13.758.956	25%	3.439.739	3.036.444	0	0	403.295	12
2005**	30.384.810	25%	7.596.203	6.006.048	0	0	1.590.155	21
2006***	17.611.065	25%	4.402.766	531.715	0	0	3.871.051	88
TOTAL:								
2004*	18.304.218		6.448.870	3.145.572	0	0	3.303.298	51
2005**	32.652.411		8.916.819	6.747.988	157.117	2	2.011.713	23
2006***	18.646.817		4.929.967	777.033	0	0	4.152.934	84
<p>*The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decisions 2004/930/EC and 2004/690/EC. **The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2005/424/EC. ***The eligible expenditure and the maximum community contribution are determined by Commission Decision 2006/392/EC.</p>								