

Brussels, 9.1.2023 SWD(2023) 1 final

**PART 3/6** 

#### COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT

Accompanying the document

# REPORT FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT, THE COUNCIL, THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COMMITTEE AND THE COMMITTEE OF THE REGIONS

JRC technical report on "Assessment of the potential for energy efficiency in electricity generation, transmission and storage"

{COM(2023) 1 final}

EN EN

## Contents

Ab	stract		1
1	Introductio	on	2
2	Fossil fuels	s power plants	3
	2.1.1	3	
	2.2 Thern	modynamics and thermal generation	5
	2.2.1	The Rankine cycle	5
	2.2.	1.1 Coal power plants	7
3	2.2.	.1.2 Oil power plants	9
	2.2.	.1.3 Gas power plants	9
3	2.2.	1.4 The Brayton cycle	9
	2.2.2	The Combined cycle	11
	2.3 Curre	ent efficiency of the European thermal power plants	13
	2.4 Sumr	mary of the thermal plant efficiencies	14
3	Storage te	15	
	3.1 Introd	duction	15
	3.2 Mech	anical energy storage	16
	3.2.1	Pumped hydro storage	16
	3.2.2	Compressed Air Energy Storage (CAES)	18
3	3.2.3	Liquid air energy storage	19
	3.2.4	Flywheels	19
	3.3 Electr	rochemical energy storage	21
	3.3.1	Lead-acid batteries	21
	3.3.2	Lithium-ion batteries	22
	3.3.3	Flow batteries	22
	3.3.4	High temperature batteries	23
	3.4 Electi	24	
	3.4.1	Supercapacitors	24
	3.4.2	Superconducting Magnetic Energy Storage	25
	3.5 Chemical energy storage		25
	3.5.1	Power-to-hydrogen	25
	3.6 Sumr	mary of storage efficiencies	26
4	Transmission High Voltage Direct Current systems		
	4.1 Introd	duction	27
	4.2 HVD0	29	
	4.3 Line (	32	
	4.4 Volta	33	
	4.5 Techr	34	

4.6 Conclusions on power losses in HVAC and HVDC Transmission Systems	36		
5 Conclusions	38		
References			
List of abbreviations and definitions	43		
List of figures	45		
List of tables	47		
APPENDIX I - Coal	48		
APPENDIX II - Gas	50		
APPENDIX III – Storage	57		
APPENDIX IV – HVDC Technologies	58		

## 2.3 Current efficiency of the European thermal power plants

Using the data available in the Eurostat database [1], the yearly average efficiency and production of the European thermal plants can be calculated, computing the ratio (using suitable units):

$$Efficiency = \frac{Gross \; electricity \; production}{Energy \; content \; of \; the \; primary \; source}$$

Regarding the EU27 thermal plants, the following graphs show the gross production of electricity in thermal power stations and the gross efficiency of coal and gas plants till 2019<sup>1</sup> (Figure 12 and Figure 13): lignite and other bituminous coal still represent the main source of electricity.

It is worth noticing that efficiencies are average values and include any loading level of generators as well as starting (when different fuels – e.g., diesel – can be used and in general the efficiency is very low). Moreover, there is no difference made between OCGT and CCGT, so that it is difficult to make conclusions about the distinct efficiency resulting from the two gas technologies.





Figure 12. Gross electricity production [GWh]. Elaboration of data from [1].





<sup>1</sup> Gross production of electricity and derived heat from combustible fuels by type of plant and operator [nrg\_ind\_pehcf]

Concerning oil power stations, the high cost of the fuel combined to low efficiencies and environmental issues led the operators to dismantle, decommission or reconvert them into the more efficient combined cycle plants as already underlined in 2004 by [19] and in [20]. The electricity produced<sup>2</sup> by these plants (Figure 14) faced a huge decline in the last 20 years [1], confirming this change.




Figure 14. Electricity production of the European oil thermal plants [GWh]. Elaboration of data from [1].

### 2.4 Summary of the thermal plant efficiencies

Table 2 reports a summary of the efficiencies of the described thermal plants:

Table 2. Efficiencies of thermal plants.

Fuel	Coal			Oil	Gas		
Туре	Subcritical	Supercritical	Ultra-supercritical	Rankine	Rankine	OCGT	CCGT
Efficiency	36 %	40-42 %	47 %	38-40 %	38-40 %	38-40 %	56-64 %

In order to evaluate a reasonable simple scenario, based on the decision of complete European coal phase out by 2030 [21], we estimated the saving of primary energy assuming that the amount of energy produced in 2019 (which is the latest year with available consolidated data) by coal power stations, is substituted by the most efficient CCGT thermal power stations. That scenario is on one side realistic enough, as the decarbonisation has been already decided in Europe and can be considered a matter of fact, and on the other side it is also conservative, as that energy might be substituted also by RES generation, thus resulting in further primary energy savings.

Considering 2019 (EU27), the electrical energy produced by coal plants (other bituminous coal and lignite) has been equal to 284.92 TWh, corresponding to 743.20 TWh of combustible fuel [1]. Hence, the average efficiency has been 38.3 %. The same amount of electricity could be produced by last generation CCGTs with the best efficiency of 64 %: in that case, the required primary energy would be 284.92/0.64=445.19 TWh, thus saving 743.20-445.19=298.01 TWh of primary energy per year, corresponding to 25.62 Mtoe. It is worth noticing that this value is on the safe side, given that auxiliary services of coal power stations are much more requiring than auxiliary services of CCGT power stations, thus resulting in further primary energy saving. That substitution would also imply as further advantage from the environmental point of view a significant amount of CO<sub>2</sub> savings, as the primary fossil fuel would be gas instead of coal.

<sup>2</sup> Gross production of electricity and derived heat from combustible fuels by type of plant and operator [nrg\_ind\_pehcf]: Fuel Oil