

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

> Brussels, 9.1.2023 SWD(2023) 1 final

PART 6/6

# COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT

Accompanying the document

## REPORT FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT, THE COUNCIL, THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COMMITTEE AND THE COMMITTEE OF THE REGIONS

JRC technical report on "Assessment of the potential for energy efficiency in electricity generation, transmission and storage"

{COM(2023) 1 final}

# Contents

At	ostract		1
1	Introductio	n	2
2	Fossil fuels	s power plants	3
	2.1.1	Introduction	3
	2.2 Thern	nodynamics and thermal generation	5
	2.2.1	The Rankine cycle	5
	2.2.1.1 Coal power plants		7
	2.2.1.2 Oil power plants		9
	2.2.	1.3 Gas power plants	9
	2.2.	1.4 The Brayton cycle	9
	2.2.2	The Combined cycle	
	2.3 Curre	nt efficiency of the European thermal power plants	
	2.4 Sumr	nary of the thermal plant efficiencies	
3	Storage te	chnologies	
	3.1 Introd	duction	
	3.2 Mechanical energy storage		
	3.2.1 Pumped hydro storage		
	3.2.2 Compressed Air Energy Storage (CAES)		
	3.2.3 Liquid air energy storage		
	3.2.4		
	3.3 Electr		
	3.3.1	Lead-acid batteries	
	3.3.2	Lithium-ion batteries	
	3.3.3	Flow batteries	
	3.3.4	High temperature batteries	
	3.4 Electr	rical energy storage	24
	3.4.1	Supercapacitors	24
	3.4.2	Superconducting Magnetic Energy Storage	25
	3.5 Chem	nical energy storage	25
	3.5.1	Power-to-hydrogen	25
	3.6 Sumr	nary of storage efficiencies	
4	Transmissi	ion High Voltage Direct Current systems	27
	4.1 Introduction		27
	4.2 HVDC system structure		
	4.3 Line (	Commutated Converters (LCC)	
	4.4 Voltage Source Converters (VSC)		
	4.5 Technical-economic HVAC vs. HVDC comparison		

	4.6 Conclusions on power losses in HVAC and HVDC Transmission Systems	
5	Conclusions	
Re	eferences	
Lis	ist of abbreviations and definitions	43
Lis	ist of figures	45
Lis	ist of tables	47
AP	PPENDIX I - Coal	
AP	PPENDIX II - Gas	50
AP	PPENDIX III – Storage	57
AP	PPENDIX IV – HVDC Technologies	

# 5 Conclusions

The present document discusses some technologies in the field of power generation, management and transmission of electrical energy in terms of efficiency, and identifies among them the most promising sectors for energy saving at the EU level.

Electricity generation looks like the sector where efficiency improvement can result in a significant saving of primary energy. This is due to the fact that efficiency in traditional thermal generation has values in the range 35-64 %, which is very low compared to efficiency in HV transmission (about 98 %) and storage (from 50 to 95 %).

Therefore, **thermal generation presents the highest saving margins** compared to HVDC and storage. Among different technologies, and considering the decarbonisation policies, the substitution of older and less efficient technologies (efficiency of 40 % or so) with CCGT would result in a significantly lower consumption of primary energy, as latest CCGT are claimed to reach an efficiency of 64 %. For example, a simplified analysis carried out for an ideal case of complete substitution of coal electricity generation by CCGT (considering data of 2018) shows that it would make it possible to save about 378 TWh/year. Of course, other considerations should be done in order to guarantee all necessary flexibility options to make it possible to run the power system in security conditions.

As for **storage** options, many technologies are available, with completely different features and uses. Therefore, their efficiencies cannot be simply compared, as fields of applications of various technologies are different. Some storage systems are more oriented to energy applications, some to power applications; some can store large amount of energy, some can provide small amount of energy but in very short time. Hence, for example, one cannot decide to substitute a PHS with a Supercapacitor in order to increase the overall efficiency.

However, this is not an issue, as it should be kept in mind that the goal of storage is not to save primary energy *directly*, but to make it possible the best integration of RES, thus substituting electricity from fossil fuels with RES based electricity, improving at the same time efficiency of residual thermal plants by flattening their load diagram, obtaining a significant *indirect* saving of primary. According to estimates by ENTSOE, the not curtailed RES energy could be reduced in 2030 by 10.6 TWh/year thanks to storage employment.

As for **HVDC transmission systems**, it is worth noticing that transmission efficiency of traditional HVAC systems is today about 98 %, thanks to the extremely high rated voltage of the European transmission system (400 kV). Therefore, there is not much room for further efficiency improvements, unless higher voltages are adopted, for both DC and AC solutions, that would be not justified economically, however. At equal voltage levels, HVDC systems are not an option to increase efficiency compared to HVAC transmission systems. They are a solution when the HVAC transmission is not viable, i.e., in case of very long transmission lines (overhead lines longer that about 800 km, cable lines longer than 100 km, interconnections of not synchronous areas, etc.). Moreover, they should be considered as a tool to make the transmission system more flexible, in order to – again – better integrate RES generation, thus saving *indirectly* primary energy. The typical example is the HVDC interconnection of large offshore wind farms, which is sometimes the only feasible option to make it possible their connection to the bulk power system.

## References

- [1] Eurostat database https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat, accessed March 2021;
- [2] C. Redl, F. Hein, M. Buck, P. Graichen, D. Jones, Agora Energiewende and Sandbag (2020): "The European Power Sector in 2019: Up-to-Date Analysis of the Electricity Transition", Agora Energiewende, March 2020;
- [3] Eurostat, "Energy, Transport and Environment Statistics, 2019 Edition", Statistical Books 2019;
- [4] E. Tzimas, A. Georgakaky, S. D. Peteves, "Future Fossil Fuel Electricity Generation in Europe: Options and Consequences", JRC Reference Reports, 2009;
- [5] W. Nijs, P. R. Castellò, I. H. Gonzàlez, "Baseline Scenario of the Total Energy System up to 2050", Heat Roadmap Europe, A low-carbon heating and cooling strategy, 2017;
- [6] IEA, "World Energy Outlook 2020", International Energy Agency;
- [7] A. Kiss, "Thermal Hydraulic Investigation of the Coolant Flow in Supercritical Water Cooled Reactor Relevant Geometries", PhD Dissertation, DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.17769.24163;
- [8] M. Belaid, R. Falcon, P. Vainikka, "*Pulverized Coal Versus Circulating Fluidized-bed Boilers. Perspectives and Challenges for South Africa*", South African Institution of Chemical Engineers (SAIChE);
- [9] Bright Hup Engineering, "Comparison of Circulating Fluidized Bed Boiler and Pulverized Coal Boiler", https://www.brighthubengineering.com;
- [10] L. Leoncini, "European Union Energy Trends from 2020 to 2050", 2019;
- [11] M. Child, C. Kemfert, D. Bogdanov, C. Breyer, "Flexible Electricity Generation, Grid Exchange and Storage for the Transition to a 100 % Renewable Energy System in Europe", Renewable Energy, Volume 139, August 2019, Pages 80-101;
- [12] S. Budinis, S. Krevor, N. M. Dowell, N. Brandon, A. Hawkes, "An Assessment of CCS Costs, Barriers and Potential", Energy Strategy and Reviews 22, 2018, Pages 61-81;
- [13] X. Xie, Y. Wu, C. Chi, M. Zhang, "Superalloys for Advanced Ultra-Super-Critical Fossil Power Plant Application", DOI: 10.5772/61139, November 2015;
- [14] P. Lako, "Coal-fired Power Technologies. Coal-fired Power Options on the Brink of Climate Policies", ECN-C-04-076, October 2004;
- [15] M. A. R. do Nascimento, L. O. Rodrigues, E. C. dos Santos, E. E. B. Gomes, F. L. G. Dias, E. I. G. Velàsques, R. A. M. Carrillo, "*Micro Gas turbine Engine: A Review*", DOI: 10.5772/54444;
- [16] General Electric web site https://www.ge.com/power/gas/gas-turbines, access November 2020;
- [17] K. Willnow, "Energy Efficiency Technologies Annex III, Technical report. Energy Efficient Solutions for Thermal Power Plants", World Energy Council, 2013;
- [18] CTCN Climate Technology Center & Network, "Integrated Gasification Combined-Cycle", https://www.ctc-n.org/technologies/integrated-gasification-combined-cycle;
- [19] European Environment Agency, "EN19 Efficiency of Conventional Thermal electricity Production", 2006;
- [20] Petroleum Economist, "*Coal and CCGT Help Support Steam Turbine Market*", https://www.petroleumeconomist.com/articles;
- [21] Kanellopoulos K., "Scenario Analysis of Accelerated Coal Phase-out by 2030. A Study on the European Power System Based on the EUC027 Scenario using the METIS Model", JRC Technical Reports, 2018;
- [22] IEA, "World Energy Outlook 2020," 2020.
- [23] Eurostat, "Electricity production capacities by main fuel groups and operator." [Online]. Available: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database .
- [24] ENTSO-E, "POWERFACTS," 2019.
- [25] World Energy Council, "Five Steps to Energy Storage. Innovation Insights Brief 2020," 2020.
- [26] European Association for Storage of Energy, "Energy Storage for a Decarbonised Europe by 2050," 2019.
- [27] IRENA, "Electricity storage and renewables: Costs and markets to 2030," 2017.
- [28] International Hydropower Association, "The world's water battery: Pumped hydropower storage and the clean energy transition," IHA Working Paper, 2018.
- [29] X. Luo, J. Wang, M. Dooner, and J. Clarke, "Overview of current development in electrical energy storage technologies and the application potential in power system operation," Applied Energy, vol. 137, pp. 511–536, 2015.

- [30] J. I. Pérez-Díaz et al., "Technological Developments for Pumped-Hydro Energy Storage," in Mechanical Storage Subprogramme, Joint Programme on Energy Storage, European Energy Research Alliance, 2014.
- [31] K. Mongird et al., "Energy Storage Technology and Cost Characterization Report," 2019.
- [32] G. Rossi et al., "AR1.3 Classificazione dei sistemi di accumulo in base all'applicazione ed al contesto," 2020.
- [33] G. F. Frate, L. Ferrari, and U. Desideri, "Energy storage for grid-scale applications: Technology review and economic feasibility analysis," Renewable Energy, vol. 163, pp. 1754–1772, 2021.
- [34] T. M. Letcher, Storing Energy: With Special Reference to Renewable Energy Sources. 2016.
- [35] REEEM, "Innovation Readiness Level Report Energy Storage Technologies," 2017.
- [36] J Wang, K. Lu, L. Ma, J Wang, M. Dooner, S.Miao, J. Li, D. Wang: "Overview of Compressed Air Energy Storage and Technology Development", *Energies*, 10, 991, 2017
- [37] J.Cho, S. Jeong , Y. Kim: "Commercial and research battery technologies for electrical energy storage applications", *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, 48, 2015
- [38] C. Damak, D. Leducq, H. M. Hoang, D. Negro, and A. Delahaye, "Liquid Air Energy Storage (LAES) as a large-scale storage technology for renewable energy integration A review of investigation studies and near perspectives of LAES," International Journal of Refrigeration, vol. 110, pp. 208–218, 2020.
- [39] C. Montorfano, "Sizing of a stand alone Liquid Air Energy Storage (LAES)," 2019.
- [40] Ease and Eera, "European Energy Storage Technology Development Roadmap," 2017.
- [41] Sandia National Laboratories, "Flywheels," 2015.
- [42] Bolund B, Bernhoff H, Leijon M.: "Flywheel energy and power storage systems", *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. 11, Issue 2, 2007.
- [43] Benato, R., Dambone Sessa, S., Bevilacqua, F., Palone, F.: "Measurement-based lithium-manganese oxide battery model", 2017 AEIT International Annual Conference: Infrastructures for Energy and ICT: Opportunities for Fostering Innovation, AEIT 2017, 2017-January, pp. 1-6.
- [44] K. R. Pullen, "The Status and Future of Flywheel Energy Storage," Joule, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 1394–1399, 2019.
- [45] N. Müller, S. Kouro, P. Zanchetta, P. Wheeler, G. Bittner, and F. Girardi, "Energy storage sizing strategy for grid-tied PV plants under power clipping limitations," *Energies*, vol. 12, no. 9, pp. 1–17, 2019.
- [46] L. S. Xavier, W. C. S. Amorim, A. F. Cupertino, V. F. Mendes, W. C. Boaventura, and H. A. Pereira, "Power converters for battery energy storage systems connected to medium voltage systems: a comprehensive review," BCM Energy, vol. 1, no. 5, 2019.
- [47] P. Breeze: "Power generation technologies", Newnes publications, 3rd edition, 2019
- [48] Battery Storage in the United States: An Update on Market Trends, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585, 2020.
- [49] R. Benato, N. Cosciani, G. Crugnola, S. Dambone Sessa, G. Lodi, C. Parmeggiani, M. Todeschini: "Sodium nickel chloride battery technology for large-scale stationary storage in the high voltage network" *Journal of Power Sources*, 293, art. no. 21197, pp. 127-136, 2015.
- [50] S. Dambone Sessa, G. Crugnola, M. Todeschini, S.Zin, R. Benato: "Sodium nickel chloride battery steadystate regime model for stationary electrical energy storage", *Journal of Energy Storage*, 6, pp. 105-115, 2016.
- [51] S. Dambone Sessa, F. Palone, A. Necci, R. Benato: "Sodium-nickel chloride battery experimental transient modelling for energy stationary storage", *Journal of Energy Storage*, 9, pp. 40-46, 2017
- [52] R. Benato, G. Bruno, F. Palone, R. M. Polito, M. Rebolini: "Large-Scale Electrochemical Energy Storage in High Voltage Grids: Overview of the Italian Experience", *Energies*, 10(1), 108, 2017
- [53] Andriollo, M., Benato, R., Dambone Sessa, S., Di Pietro, N., Hirai, N., Nakanishi, Y., Senatore, E.: "Energy intensive electrochemical storage in Italy: 34.8 MW sodium-sulphur secondary cells", *Journal of Energy Storage*, 5, pp. 146-155., 2016.
- [54] EERA, "Superconducting magnetic energy storage Fact Sheet 01," 2019.
- [55] IRENA, "Hydrogen from Renewable Power: Technology outlook for the energy transition," 2018.
- [56] IRENA, "Hydrogen: A renewable energy perspective," 2019.
- [57] European Commission, "Renewable Energy Progress Report," 2020.
- [58] IRENA, "Renewable Energy Prospects for the European Union," 2018.
- [59] ENTSO-E, Storage projects sheets, https://tyndp2020-projectplatform.azurewebsites.net/projectsheets/storage .

- [60] R. Rudervall, J.P. Charpentier, R. Sharma, *"High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) Transmission Systems Technology Review Paper"*, in Energy Week 2000, Washington, D.C, USA, March 7-8, 2000.
- [61] ENTSO-E, "HVDC Links in System Operations", Technical paper, 2019.
- [62] ENTSO-E, "TYNDP 2020 Main Report November 2020 Version for public consultation", Technical paper, 2020.
- [63] V. Gabor Csutar, S. Kallikuppa and L. Charles, "Introduction to HVDC Architecture and Solutions for Control and Protection", application report, 2020
- [64] Arda-Garibaldi G, Cruz-Romero P, Gomez-Exposito A., *"Future power transmission: visions, technologies and challenges"*, Renew Sustain Energy Rev, 2018,94:285-301.
- [65] A. Alassi, S. Bañales, O. Ellabban, G. Adam, and C. MacIver, "HVDC Transmission: Technology Review, Market Trends and Future Outlook," Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 112. Elsevier Ltd, pp. 530–554, 01-Sep-2019.
- [66] Van Hertem D, Delimar M., "6 High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) electric power transmission systems", in Melhem Z, editor. Electricity transmission, distribution and storage systems. Woodhead Publishing, 2013, p. 143-73.
- [67] Barthold LO, *"Technical and economic aspects of tripole HVDC"* in 2006 international conference on power system technology, 2006.
- [68] Sellick RL, Àkerberg M., "Comparison of HVDC Light (VSC) and HVDC Classic (LCC) site aspects, for a 500 MW 400kV HVDC transmission scheme", in 10th IET international conference on AC and DC power transmission (ACDC 2012), 2012.
- [69] Pan Z, Wang X, Mei G, Liu Y, Yao W, Liu H, et al., "A transformer neutral current balancing device to restrain half-cycle saturation induced by HVDC monopolar operations", Electr Power Syst Res, 2016,132:104-14.
- [70] Marzinotto M, Mazzanti G, Nervi M., "Ground/sea return with electrode systems for HVDC transmission", Int J Electr Power Energy Syst, 2018,100:222-30.
- [71] Skog J-E, Koreman K, Pàajarvi B, Worzyk T, Andersrod T. The NorNed, *"HVDC cable link: a power transmission highway between Norway and The Netherlands"*, ABB Online Library.
- [72] Boeck SD, Tielens P, Leterme W, Hertem DV, "Configurations and earthing of HVDC grids" in 2013 IEEE power & energy society general meeting, 2013.
- [73] Kalair A, Abas N, Khan N., "Comparative study of HVAC and HVDC transmission systems", Renew Sustain Energy Rev, 2016,59:1653-75
- [74] Bahrman MP, Johnson BK, "The ABCs of HVDC transmission technologies", IEEE Power Energy Mag 2007,5:32-44.
- [75] Kalair A, Abas N, Khan N., "Comparative study of HVAC and HVDC transmission systems", Renew Sustain Energy Rev, 2016,59:1653-75
- [76] Aspinall N, "Electric transmission: HVDC and interconnectors", BNEF, 2016.
- [77] D. Jovcic and K. Ahmed, "High-Voltage Direct Current Transmission: Converters, Systems and DC Grids", Wiley 2015, 201AD.
- [78] J. Burr, S. Finney, C. Booth, "Comparison of Different Technologies for Improving Commutation Failure Immunity Index for LCC HVDC in Weak AC Systems," 11th IET International Conference on AC and DC Power Transmission, 2015.
- [79] Bahrman MP, Johansson JG, Nilsson BA, "Voltage source converter transmission technologies the right *fit for the application*", Power Eng Soc Gen Meet, 2003,1-8.
- [80] Asplund G, Eriksson K, Jiang H, Lindberg J, Pàlsson R, Svensson K, "DC transmission based on voltage source converters", in Proceedings CIGRE SC14 Colloq. South Africa 1997, 1997.
- [81] Oluwafemi E. Oni, Innocent E. Davidso, Kamati N.I. Mbangula *"A Review of LCC-HVDC and VSC-HVDC Technologies and Applications"*, 978-1-5090-2320-2016 IEEE
- [82] ] Latorre HF, Ghandhari M, "Improvement of power system stability by using a VSC-HVDC", Int J Electr Power Energy Syst, 2011,33(2):332-9.
- [83] Beerten J, Cole S, Belmans R, "Generalized steady-state VSC MTDC model for sequential AC/DC power flow algorithms", IEEE Trans Power Syst, Vol Appear 2012,2:1-9.
- [84] Mukhedkar R, "Introduction to HVDC LCC & VSC comparison HVDC converter technology", South Asia Reg Initiat Energy Integr, 2010:1-9.
- [85] Barnes M, Beddard A, "Voltage source converter HVDC links the state of the art and issues going *forward*", in Energy Procedia, 2012,24:108-22.

- [86] Gu X, He S, Xu Y, Yan Y, Hou S, Fu M., "Partial discharge detection on 320 kV VSC-HVDC XLPE cable with artificial defects under DC voltage", IEEE Trans Dielectr Electr Insul, 2018,25:939-46.
- [87] Yuheng-Weifang, "1000kV UHV AC transmission and transformation project starts construction", China: State Grid, 2015.
- [88] Chen G, Zhou X, Chen R, "Variable frequency transformers for large scale power systems interconnection: theory and applications", Wiley, 2018.
- [89] Pierri E, Binder O, Hemdan NGA, Kurrat M., "Challenges and opportunities for a European HVDC grid", Renew Sustain Energy Rev, 2017,70:427-56.
- [90] Sood VK., *"31 HVDC transmission"*, In: Rashid MH, editor. Power electronics handbook third ed. Boston: Butterworth-Heinemann, 2011. p. 823-49.
- [91] Dynamic reactive compensation MV STATCOM ABB, 2008.
- [92] Jung H, Biletskiy Y., *"Evaluation and comparison of economical efficiency of HVDC and AC transmission",* in 2009 Canadian conference on electrical and computer engineering, 2009.
- [93] N. Flourentzou, V.G. Agelidis, and G.D. Demetriades, *"VSC-Based HVDC Power Transmission Systems: An Overview,"* IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 592–602, 2009.
- [94] T. W. May, Y. M. Yeap and A. Ukil, "Comparative evaluation of power loss in HVAC and HVDC transmission systems," 2016 IEEE Region 10 Conference (TENCON), Singapore, 2016, pp. 637-641, doi: 10.1109/TENCON.2016.7848080.
- [95] ENTSO-E, "Statistical factsheet 2018", Technical report, 2019.
- [96] IEC Transmission and distribution. https://www.iec.ch/resource-centre/transmission-and-distributiontd. Access December 2020.
- [97] N. B. Negra, J. Todorovic, and T. Ackerrnann, *"Loss evaluation of HVAC and HVDC transmission solutions for large offshore wind farms,"* Electric Power Systems Research, vol. 76, no. 11, pp. 916–927, 2006.
- [98] D. V. Hertern and M. Ghandhar, "Multi-terminal VSC-HVDC for the European supergrid: Obstacles," Renewable and sustainable energy reviews, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 3156-3163, 2010.
- [99] H. Pang, G. Tang, and Z. He, "Evaluation of losses in VSC-HVDC transmission system," in Power and Energy Society General Meeting - Conversion and Delivery of Electrical Energy in the 21st Century, 2008 IEEE, July 2008, pp. 1-6.
- [100] P. Jansohn: Modern gas turbine systems: High efficiency, low emission, fuel flexible power generation, Woodhead Publishing, ISBN: 9781845697280, 2013.
- [101] P. Breeze: Gas-Turbine Power Generation, Elsevier, ISBN 13: 9780128040058, 2016.
- [102] A. Benabouda, Alfred Ruferb: "Gas Turbine: Optimization of Energy Production and High Efficiency by Using Power Electronics", Procedia Engineering 138, pp. 337 – 346, 2016.
- [103] Cigré Technical Brochure 492: "Voltage Source Converter (VSC) HVDC for Power Transmission Economic Aspects and Comparison with other AC and DC Technologies", ISBN: 978-2-85873-184-8, 2012.
- [104] IEC 61803: Determination of Power Losses in High-Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) Converter Stations, 1999.
- [105] P. L. Jones and C. C. Davidson, "Calculation of power losses for MMC-based VSC HVDC stations," *Proc. Of 15th Eur. Conf. Power Electron. Appl.*, Lille, Sep. 2013, pp. 1–10.

# List of abbreviations and definitions

AC	Alternate Current
ALK	ALKaline electrolyser
BESS	Battery Energy Storage Systems
CAES	Compressed Air Energy Systems
CCGT	Combined Cycle Gas Turbine
DC	Direct Current
DCCB	Direct Current Circuit Breaker
DOD	Depth Of Discharge
EHV	Extremely High Voltages
ENTSO-E	European Network of Transmission System Operators for Electricity
FACTS	Flexible AC Transmission Systems
GT	Gas Turbine
HP	High Pressure
HRSG	Heat Recovery Steam Generator
HV	High Voltage
HVAC	High Voltage Alternate Current
HVDC	High Voltage Direct Current
IGBT	Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistor
IGCC	Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle
LAES	Liquid Air Energy Systems
LCC	Line Commutated Converter
LP	Low Pressure
ММС	Modular Multilevel Converter
MP	Medium Pressure
OCGT	Open Cycle Gas Turbine
P2H	Power to Hydrogen
PEM	Proton Exchange Membrane
PHS	Pumped Hydro Storage
RES	Renewable Energy System
ROW	Right-Of-Way
RTE	Round Trip Efficiency
SMES	Super Magnetic Energy System
SOC	State of Charge
STATCOM	STATic synchronous COMpensator
SVC	Static Var Compensator
TS0	Transmission System Operator
TYNDP	Ten-Year Network Development Plan
VLA	Vented Lead-Acid

VRLA Valve-Regulated Lead-Acid VSC Voltage Source Converter

## List of figures

Figure 1. Gross electricity production in 2019 in percentage. Elaboration of data from [1]	3
Figure 2. Installed capacity in 2019 in megawatt. Elaboration of data from [1]	4
Figure 3. Classification of the installed thermal capacity [GW]. Elaboration of data from [1]	4
Figure 4. Gross electricity production of the thermal plants in Europe by type of fuel [GWh]. Elaborati data from [1]	on of 5
Figure 5. Simplified scheme of thermal plant [7]	5
Figure 6. Efficiency improvement of different steam boilers [13].	8
Figure 7. Efficiency improvements of pulverised coal power plants [14].	8
Figure 8. Gas turbine [15]	9
Figure 9. Efficiency improvement of GT from 1960 [48]: Simple Cycle and Combined Cycle	
Figure 10. Combined power plant [17]	
Figure 11. Evolution of the combined cycle efficiency [17].	
Figure 12. Gross electricity production [GWh]. Elaboration of data from [1]	
Figure 13. Gross Efficiency of the Thermal plants. Elaboration of data from [1]	
Figure 14. Electricity production of the European oil thermal plants [GWh]. Elaboration of data from [	1]14
Figure 15: Electro-chemical storage deployment in Europe [24]	
Figure 16: Technology mix in storage installations excluding pumped hydro [25]	
Figure 17: System services that storage technologies can provide depending on the service timescale typical power ratings [27]	e and 16
Figure 18: PHS plant layout [29].	
Figure 19: Diabatic (a) and adiabatic (b) CAES layout [27]	
Figure 20: LAES mode of operation [40]	
Figure 21: Flywheels layout [29]	20
Figure 22: BESS connection to AC grid [46]	21
Figure 23: Depth of Discharge and State of Charge of BESS	21
Figure 24: Schematic of vanadium redox flow battery [29]	23
Figure 25: Supercapacitor layout [29]	24
Figure 26: SMES layout [54]	25
Figure 27: Hydrogen storage and electricity production with fuel cell [29]	
Figure 28: Cross-frontier transmission lines operated by European TSOs [62].	
Figure 29: HVDC system structure [63].	

**Figure 30**: Generic HVDC transmission system layout with component-based description. DCCBs are not typically implemented in point-to-point links and are displayed to illustrate their principal of operation [65]. 29

Figure 33: LCC-HVDC converter station [61]	
Figure 34: VSC-HVDC converter station with modular multilevel converter: 1) half-bridge submodul bridge submodule [61]	ıle, 2) full- 34
Figure 35. HVAC Vs HVDC line costs	
Figure 36: qualitative breakeven distance assessment [91]	
Figure 37: Cost and ROW estimation for a generic 6000 MW, 2000 km overhead transmission [91	]
Figure B1. Scheme of the electrical power generation system using frequency converter	
<b>Figure. D1</b> HVDC-LCC: power losses of each converter station as a percentage of rated power for and bipolar configurations	monopolar 61

# List of tables

Table 1. Boiler types and main features	7
Table 2. Efficiencies of thermal plants.	14
Table 3: Efficiency and cost of each storage technology	26
Table 4         Sample of loss comparison for HVAC and HVDC Transmission systems.	37
Table A.1 EU Annual Production of Electricity from Coal [TWh] (Source Bp Stats review 2020)	49
<b>Table A.2.</b> Classification of pulverized coal combustion (PCC) power plants in terms of steam parameters           steam temperature and pressure and materials necessary in high temperature components [1.3, 1.7]	i.e., 50
<b>Table A.3.</b> Natural gas production as a proportion of annual global electricity generation (Source: Bp Stats           review 2020)	; 51
Table A.4. EU Annual Production of Electricity from Natural Gas [TWh] (Source Bp Stats review 2020)	51
Table A.5         2018-2018 GT world simple cycle specifications [1.18]: power ratings > 100 MW	53
Table A.6 Modifications in GT cycle in order to increase efficiency	55
Table C.1 Overview of the life of electric energy storage systems	58
Table D.1 Bulk Power transmission by means of HVDC-LCC and HVDC-VSC (updated from [103])	59
Table D.2         State of the art of HVDC - LCC (updated from [103])	59
Table D.3 State of the art of HVDC - VSC (updated from [103])	60
Table D.4 Converter station power losses due to each component in HVDC-LCC	60
Table D.5 Typical station losses due to each component in HVDC-LCC (after Annex B IEC 61803 [104])	61

# **APPENDIX I - Coal**

		EU Total Annual Coal Production	
Year	EU Annual Production of	<b>Electricity Production</b>	Proportion of Annual EU
	Liectricity from coat [fwn]	[TWh]	Electricity Generation [%]
1985	969,7	2321,0	41,8
1986	994,9	2377,1	41,9
1987	1010,0	2450,4	41,2
1988	998,7	2507,2	39,8
1989	1022,5	2564,0	39,9
1990	1040,2	2594,9	40,1
1991	1042,8	2640,8	39,5
1992	996,3	2624,6	38,0
1993	951,7	2627,2	36,2
1994	955,8	2665,8	35,9
1995	965,2	2747,0	35,1
1996	976,6	2842,4	34,4
1997	926,2	2858,3	32,4
1998	931,4	2925,1	31,8
1999	896,3	2955,4	30,3
2000	948,5	3037,7	31,2
2001	960,7	3119,7	30,8
2002	967,7	3142,9	30,8
2003	1025,5	3237,2	31,7
2004	1005,1	3305,6	30,4
2005	981,1	3327,3	29,5
2006	1003,8	3371,2	29,8
2007	1006,6	3384,3	29,7
2008	919,2	3388,7	27,1
2009	836,3	3224,4	25,9
2010	846,1	3364,7	25,1
2011	869,7	3299,2	26,4
2012	920,1	3295,4	27,9
2013	892,8	3269,6	27,3
2014	825,7	3188,4	25,9
2015	811,1	3236,6	25,1
2016	721,2	3259,4	22,1
2017	694,2	3290,0	21,1
2018	643,6	3270,1	19,7
2019	488,4	3215,3	15,2

 Table A.1 EU Annual Production of Electricity from Coal [TWh] (Source Bp Stats review 2020)

**Table A.2.** Classification of pulverized coal combustion (PCC) power plants in terms of steam parameters i.e., steam temperature and pressure and materials necessary in high temperature components [1.3, 1.7]

Technology	Superheater temperature and pressure	Material in high temperature components	η Efficiency LHV net Hard coal [%]	Coal Consumption [gCOAL/kWh]
SUBCRITICAL SB	≤ 540°C < 22,1 MPa	Low alloy CMn and Mo ferritic steels	<35	≥380
SUPERCRITICAL SC	540°C÷580°C 22,1÷25 MPa	Low alloy CrMo steels and 9– 12% Cr martensitic steel	35÷40	380÷340
ULTRASUPERCRI TICAL USC	580°C÷620°C 22÷25 MPa	Improved 9– 12% Cr martensitic steels and austenitic steels	40÷45	340÷320
ADVANCED ULTRASUPERCRI TICAL A-USC (ONLY UNDER STUDY)	700°C÷720°C 25÷35 MPa	Advanced 10– 12% Cr steels and nickel alloys	45÷52	320÷290

# **APPENDIX II - Gas**

Year	Annual Production of Electricity from Natural Gas [TWh]	Total Global Annual Electricity Production [TWh]	Natural Gas Production as a Proportion of Annual Global Electricity Generation [%]
1973	740	6117	12,1
2004	3420	17 450	19,6
2005	3623	18 239	19,7
2006	3805	18 930	20,1
2007	4132	19 771	20,9
2008	4299	20 181	21,3
2009	4292	20 055	21,4
2010	4758	21 431	22,2
2011	4846	22 126	21,9
2012	5100	22 668	22,5
2013	5084	23 434	21,7
2014	5241	24 030	21,8
2015	5588	24 266	23,0
2016	5824	24 923	23,4
2017	5926	25 643	23,1
2018	6083	26 653	22,8
2019	6298	27 005	23,3

**Table A.3.** Natural gas production as a proportion of annual global electricity generation (Source: Bp Stats review 2020)

Table A.4. EU Annual Production of Electricity from Natural Gas [TWh] (Source Bp Stats review 2020)

Year	EU Annual Production of Electricity from Natural Gas [TWh]	EU Total Annual Electricity Production [TWh]	Natural Gas Production as a Proportion of Annual EU Electricity Generation [%]
1985	169,0	2321,0	7,28
1986	171,3	2377,1	7,21
1987	181,4	2450,4	7,40
1988	181,3	2507,2	7,23
1989	198,1	2564,0	7,73
1990	190,1	2594,9	7,33
1991	190,7	2640,8	7,22
1992	186,7	2624,6	7,11
1993	213,5	2627,2	8,13
1994	242,1	2665,8	9,08
1995	267,8	2747,0	9,75
1996	312,3	2842,4	10,99
1997	360,2	2858,3	12,60
1998	390,5	2925,1	13,35
1999	453,7	2955,4	15,35
2000	477,9	3037,7	15,73
2001	494,5	3119,7	15,85
2002	527,6	3142,9	16,79

Year	EU Annual Production of Electricity from Natural Gas [TWh]	EU Total Annual Electricity Production [TWh]	Natural Gas Production as a Proportion of Annual EU Electricity Generation [%]
2003	570,5	3237,2	17,62
2004	617,6	3305,6	18,68
2005	668,5	3327,3	20,09
2006	683,1	3371,2	20,26
2007	738,8	3384,3	21,83
2008	790,7	3388,7	23,33
2009	733,1	3224,4	22,74
2010	764,8	3364,7	22,73
2011	701,3	3299,2	21,26
2012	580,6	3295,4	17,62
2013	507,4	3269,6	15,52
2014	456,0	3188,4	14,30
2015	495,3	3236,6	15,30
2016	608,1	3259,4	18,66
2017	660,7	3290,0	20,08
2018	621,2	3270,1	19,00
2019	692,2	3215,3	21,53

### **Overview of GT manufacturers**

- 1. General Electric (including former Alstom, acquired at the end of 2015);
- 2. Siemens (formerly Siemens–Westinghouse, formerly separate companies, i.e., Kraftwerk Union (KWU) Siemens Power Generation and Westinghouse Electric Corporation);
- 3. Mitsubishi Hitachi Power Systems (MHPS, formerly Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, MHI);
- 4. Ansaldo Energia.

Ratings and efficiencies of GTs are annually reported in two Journals i.e.:

- Gas Turbine World (GTW), http://www.gasturbineworld.com;
- Turbomachinery International (TMI), https://www.turbomachinerymag.com.

Table A.5 reports all the heavy-duty gas turbine up to 2020 with power ratings greater than 100 MW.

In order to understand the symbols in Table A.5, the following classification is used. In fact, heavy-duty industrial gas turbines are subdivided in accordance with their nominal **TIT** (as already explained, the hot gas temperature at the exit of the combustion section just before entry into the turbine). There are four major classes:

- E class with nominal TIT of 1 300°C;
- F class with nominal TIT of 1 400°C;
- H class with nominal TIT of 1 500°C;
- J class with nominal TIT of 1 600°C.

MODEL	YEAR OF INTRODUCTION	ISO BASE LOAD RATING [MW]	η [%]	NOTES			
ANSALDO ENERGIA							
AE94.2	1981	185	36,2				
AE94.2K	1981	170	36,5	LOW LHV FUEL			
AE 94.3A	1995	325	40,1				
GT26	2011	345	41,0				
GT36-S6	2016	340	41,0				
GT36-55	2016	500	41,5				
GENERAL ELECTRIC							
9E.03	1992	132	34,6				
9E.04	2014	145	37,0				
9F.03	1996	265	37,8				
9F.04	2015	288	38,7				
9F.05	2003	314	38,2				
9HA.01	2011	446	43,1				
9HA.02	2014	557	44,0	Calculated TIT =1670 °C			
MITSUBISHI HITACHI POWER	SYSTEMS (50 Hz)						
H-100	2013	118,08	38,3				
M701DA	1981	144,09	34,8				
M701G	1997	334	39,5				
M701F	1992	385	41,9				
M701J	2014	478	42,3				
M701JAC	2015	493	42,9				
SIEMENS ENERGY (50 Hz)							
SGT5-2000E	1981	187	36,2				
SGT5-4000F	1995	329	41,0				

## Table A.5 2018-2018 GT world simple cycle specifications [1.18]: power ratings > 100 MW

MODEL	YEAR OF INTRODUCTION	ISO BASE LOAD RATING [MW]	η [%]	NOTES
SGT5-8000H	2008	450	41,0	
SGT5-8000HL	2017	465	42,0	
SGT5-9000HL	2017	564	42,5	
PW POWER SYSTEMS (50 Hz)				
FT4000SWIFTPAC120	2012	140,376	40,9	
ETHOSENERGY				
TG50D5U	2007	144,5	34,6	
BHARAT HEAVY ELECTRICALS				
MS9001E(9E.03)	2012	130,4	34,4	
MS9001FB(9F.03)	1996	250,2	37,5	
MS9001FB (9E.05)	2004	297,0	38,9	

From Table A.5, state of the art in the largest and most efficient heavy-duty GTs can be summarized as follows:

- Outputs of 500 MWe;
- TITs of 1700°C;
- Cycle pressure ratios above 20:1 and as high as 25:1;
- Maximum Efficiency of 44%.

### Advanced gas turbine

Many modifications can be implemented to the simple cycle of a GT in order to improve its overall efficiency. Table A.6 sums up the different possibilities and the achievable efficiencies [101].

Table A.6 Modifications in GT cycle in order to increase efficiency

Typology of modification	Efficiency	Scheme	
Recuperation	40,2	Recuperator Exhaust gas Fuel Combustor Fuel Compressor Turbine Electricity	
Reheating	Alstom	Reheat combustor air Compressor HP turbine Compressor Electricity	
Intercooling	46 % GE LMS 100	Cooling water Intercooler Fuel Com- bustor HP com- pressor Fuel Com- bustor Turbine Exhaust Generator Electricity	

Typology of modification	Efficiency	Scheme		
Mass Injection	The net result is an increase in efficiency compared to the same turbine without steam injection of 2% - 4%.	Exhaust heat recovery boiler To stack Water Pump Fuel Combustor Combustor Gas Generator Air		
Humid Air Turbine (HAT) cycle	55 %	Intercooler Air Air Aftercooler To saturator To saturator Saturator Water Water		

Some authors propose to substitute the mechanical gearbox that links the turbine shaft with the synchronous generator: this gearbox reduces the rotational speed by maintaining the rpm necessary to the synchronous generator (depending on the polar couples). The gearbox could be substituted by a flexible electronic solution, which offers the ability to operate with very high power and increases turbine efficiency by using variable speed[102].

The paper does not give the overall efficiency gain, but it only specifies that the frequency converter efficiency is 99,5 % whereas the typical efficiency of a gearbox is 98,5 %.

Figure B1 offers a suggestion of the electrical power generation system by using frequency converter.



Figure B1. Scheme of the electrical power generation system using frequency converter

# APPENDIX III - Storage

Technology	Average Life [years]	Discharge time	
PHS	50	1 hour-more than 24 hours	
CAES	20-40	1 hour-more than 24 hours	
FES	15-20	seconds - 15 min	
Pb-A	15-30	Typical rated discharge time: 5 h	
Li-ion	5-16	Typical rated discharge time: 1 h	
NiCd	10-15	10 minutes-5 hours	
NaS	15-20	Typical rated discharge time: 8 h	
NaNiCl <sub>2</sub>	15	Typical rated discharge time: 3 h	
ULTRACAP	10-15	milliseconds-hours	

Table C.1 Overview of the life of electric energy storage systems

## **APPENDIX IV – HVDC Technologies**

Table D.1. is adapted and updated from Cigré TB # 432 "Voltage Source Converter (VSC) HVDC for Power Transmission – Economic Aspects and Comparison with other AC and DC Technologies" [103] Moreover, table D.1 gives an immediate comparison between the two different HVDC technologies in terms of power transmission.

Power Range	HVDC- LCC	HVDC- VSC
7500 MW-12000 MW	UHVDC Bulk (Bipole DC 1100 kV)	
5000 MW- 7500 MW	UHVDC Bulk (Bipole DC 800 kV)	-
2500 MW-5000 MW	UHVDC Bulk (Bipole DC 800 kV)	-
1800 MW-3500 MW	HVDC Classic (Bipole DC 500 kV)	Bulk power VSC HVDC (Overhead line)
700 MW-2000 MW	HVDC Classic (Bipole DC 400kV-500kV)	High power VSC HVDC
300 MW-800 MW	HVDC Classic (Monopole DC 300kV-500kV)	Medium power VSC HVDC
< 300 MW	HVDC Classic (Monopole DC< 300kV)	Low power VSC HVDC

Table D.1 Bulk Power transmission by means of HVDC-LCC and HVDC-VSC (updated from [103])

The main characteristics of HVDC-LCC are summed up in Table D.2. The table is taken from [103] but it has been updated and adapted.

Power Transmission	Overhead lines	Insulated Cables	
Maximum voltage level	1100 kV DC	500 kV DC (600 kV <sub>dc</sub> with PPL MI)	
Maximum power rating	<12000 MW		
Maximum transmission distance	Unlimited		
Footprint	200 x 120 x 20 m (600 MW)		
Active power flow control	Continuous, min. 10% load		
Reactive power demand	50%-60% of converter power rating		
	Compensated by breaker switched ac harmonic filters and reactive power banks		
AC Voltage control	Slow, transformer tap change		
Power Reversal	DC voltage reversal		
Necessary filter equipment	High demand		
Grid connection requirements	SCR> 2 x power rating		
Black start / island supply	Not inherently available		
Typical Power Loss in the two converter stations at full power	1,4%		

Table D.2 State of the art of HVDC – LCC (updated from [103])

Table D.3 sums up the main characteristics of HVDC-VSC.

Power Transmission	Overhead lines	Insulated Cables	
Maximum voltage level	≤ 640 kV	≤ 600 kV	
Maximum power rating	< 1600 MW		
Maximum transmission distance	Theoretically unlimited (voltage drop over line)		
Space requirements (examples)	120 x 50 x 11 m (550 MW); 48 x 25 x 27 m (500 MW) [w x l x h]		
Active power flow control	Fast continuous		
Reactive power demand	Can provide or consume controlled reactive power as required		
AC Voltage control	Continuous, full response in < 100 ms		
Power Reversal	DC current reversal		
Necessary filter equipment	Low demand (PWM); Not necessary with other topologies		
Grid connection requirements	Can supply power to a passive network		
Black start / island supply	Black-start capability and island supply requires an aux. power system to initially energize the cooling system (e.g.,by means of a diesel generator)		

Table D.3 State of the art of HVDC - VSC (updated from [103])

HVDC-LCC converter station power losses

Table D.4 Converter station power losses due to each component in HVDC-LCC

Components		Оре	Operation	
		Standby	Rated power	
Filters AC DC		4%	4%	
		0%	<0.1%	
Converter transformers		53%	47%	
Thyristor valves		10%	36%	
Smoothing	reactor		0%	4%
Valve cooling system		4%	3%	
Auxiliary systems	Transformer cooling system		4%	1%
	Cooling system		15%	4%
	Other systems		10%	1%
Total power losses @20 °C		100%	100%	
Power loss Percentage (with reference to rated power)		0,11%	0,70%	

Item	Typical losses at nominal operating conditions	
	%	
Thyristor valves	20-40*	
Converter transformers	40-55	
AC filters	4-10	
Shunt capacitors (if used)	0,5-3	
Shunt reactors (if used)	2-5	
Smoothing reactor	4-13	
DC filters	0,1-1	
Auxiliaries	3-10	
Total	100	

Table D.5 Typical station losses due to each component in HVDC-LCC (after Annex B IEC 61803 [104])

 $^*$  The total station no-load operation losses range from 10 % to 20 % of the total station operating losses at rated power under nominal operating conditions

Figure. D1 HVDC-LCC: power losses of each converter station as a percentage of rated power for monopolar and bipolar configurations



### **GETTING IN TOUCH WITH THE EU**

#### In person

All over the European Union there are hundreds of Europe Direct information centres. You can find the address of the centre nearest you at: <u>https://europa.eu/european-union/contact\_en</u>

#### On the phone or by email

Europe Direct is a service that answers your questions about the European Union. You can contact this service:

- by freephone: 00 800 6 7 8 9 10 11 (certain operators may charge for these calls),
- at the following standard number: +32 22999696, or
- by electronic mail via: <u>https://europa.eu/european-union/contact\_en</u>

### FINDING INFORMATION ABOUT THE EU

#### Online

Information about the European Union in all the official languages of the EU is available on the Europa website at: <a href="https://europa.eu/european-union/index\_en">https://europa.eu/european-union/index\_en</a>

### **EU publications**

You can download or order free and priced EU publications from EU Bookshop at: <u>https://publications.europa.eu/en/publications</u>. Multiple copies of free publications may be obtained by contacting Europe Direct or your local information centre (see <u>https://europa.eu/european-union/contact\_en</u>).

The European Commission's science and knowledge service Joint Research Centre

## JRC Mission

As the science and knowledge service of the European Commission, the Joint Research Centre's mission is to support EU policies with independent evidence throughout the whole policy cycle.



EU Science Hub ec.europa.eu/jrc

@EU\_ScienceHub

**f** EU Science Hub - Joint Research Centre

- in EU Science, Research and Innovation
- EU Science Hub